REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
CITY OF MADERA
PLANNING COMMISSION
CITY HALL – COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TUESDAY
January 14, 2020
6:00 pm

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

Commissioner Robert Gran, Jr. (Chairperson)
Commissioner Israel Cortes (Vice Chairperson)
Commissioner Richard Broadhead
Commissioner Ryan Cerioni
Commissioner Ramon Lopez-Maciel
Commissioner Pamela Tyler
Commissioner Alex Salazar

INTRODUCTION OF STAFF

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

PUBLIC COMMENT

The first fifteen minutes of the meeting are reserved for members of the public to address the Commission on items which are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission. Speakers shall be limited to three minutes. Speakers will be asked, but are not required, to identify themselves and state the subject of their comments. If the subject is an item on the Agenda, the Chairperson has the option of asking the speaker to hold the comment until the hearing is opened. Comments on items listed as a Public Hearing on the Agenda should be held until the hearing is opened. The Commission is prohibited by law from taking any action on matters discussed that are not on the Agenda and no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Commission does not respond to public comment at this time.

MINUTES: None

CONSENT ITEMS:

1. SPR 2009-21 EXT 7 & CUP 2013-04, 05, 06 & 07 – Foxglove Shopping Center Extension
   A request for an extension of an approved site plan review and various conditional use permits in support of the development of a retail shopping center. The property is 19.51 acres in size and is located on the southeast corner of Schnoor Avenue and Foxglove Way in the C2 (Commercial) Zone District with a C (Commercial) General Plan land use designation. An environmental impact report, mitigation monitoring and reporting program, statement of overriding considerations, and related findings for all project components were completed in conjunction with the proposal. The retail shopping center was approved and the environmental impact report was certified by the Planning Commission on February 12, 2013.
PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:

1. **PPL 2019-06 and VAR 2019-05 – Eagle Meadows**
   A continued noticed public hearing to consider a precise plan to allow for the development of a 106-unit multifamily residential complex on 3.67 acres located in proximity to the southwest corner of the intersection of Ellis and Merced Streets in the PD-1500 (Planned Development) Zone District with an HD (High Density) General Plan land use designation (Multiple APNs). A Negative Declaration will also be considered by the Planning Commission.

2. **CUP 2019-30 – Deerpoint Group**
   A noticed public hearing to consider a conditional use permit to allow for the outdoor storage of water-based fertilizer containers on approximately 30,000 square feet at an existing agricultural/irrigation water treatment company, located in the Freedom Industrial Park, approximately 1,700 feet west of the northwest corner of West Pecan Avenue and Independence Drive (1963 Independence Drive), in the I (Industrial) Zone District with an I (Industrial) General Plan land use designation. (APN: 009-331-023)

3. **Development Agreement Annual Review – Madera Travel Center CONTINUED TO 03/10/20**
   An annual review of the development agreement approved in conjunction with the Madera Travel Center project (Ordinance 938) for the period running through December 21, 2019. This annual review has been scheduled pursuant to Section 10-3.1715 of the Madera Municipal Code, which required that the Planning Commission determine whether the principal party to the agreement, Love’s Travel Center, has complied in good faith with the terms of the development agreement. (APN: 013-240-004, 005, 006 & 007)

NON-PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS: None

WORKSHOPS: None

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS:

COMMISSIONER REPORTS:

ADJOURNMENT:

The next regular meeting will be held on February 11, 2020.
In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the meeting room is accessible to the physically disabled and the services of a translator can be made available. Requests for additional accommodations for the disabled, signers, assistive listening devices or translators needed to assist participation in the public meeting should be made at least seventy-two (72) hours before the meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in a City meeting or other services offered by this City, please contact the Planning Department office at (559) 661-5430. Those who are hearing impaired, may call 711 or 1-800-735-2929 for TTY Relay Services. Any and all persons interested in this matter may provide comments.

Any writing related to an agenda item for the open session of this meeting distributed to the Planning Commission less than 72 hours before this meeting is available for inspection at the City of Madera – Planning Department, 205 W. 4th Street, Madera, CA 93637 during normal business hours.

Pursuant to Section 65009 of the Government Code of the State of California, notice is hereby given that if any of the foregoing projects or matters is challenged in Court, such challenge may be limited to only those issues raised at the public hearing, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at or prior to the public hearing.

All Planning Commission actions may be appealed to the City Council. The time in which an applicant may appeal a Planning Commission action varies from 10 to 30 days depending on the type of project. The appeal period begins the day after the Planning Commission public hearing. There is NO EXTENSION for an appeal period.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this hearing notice, you may call the Planning Department at (559) 661-5430. Si usted tiene preguntas, comentarios o necesita ayuda con interpretación, favor de llamar el Departamento de Planeamiento por lo menos 72 horas antes de esta junta (559) 661-5430.
CITY OF MADERA
PLANNING COMMISSION

Staff Report: Foxglove Shopping Center Extension
SPR 2009-21 EXT7 & Multiple CUP Extensions
Item # C1 – January 14, 2020

PROPOSAL: A request for extension of an approved site plan review and various conditional use permits in support of the development of a retail shopping center.

APPLICANT: Shaw/Feland Partnership
OWNER: Dewayne Zinkin Partnership LP
ADDRESS: None
APPLICATION: SPR 2009-21 & CUP 2013-04, 05, 06 & 07
CEQA: Environmental Impact Report

LOCATION: The project site is located on the southeast corner of Schnoor Avenue and Foxglove Way.

STREET ACCESS: Foxglove Way and Schnoor Avenue

PARCEL SIZE: Approximately 19.51 acres

GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: C (Commercial)

ZONING DISTRICT: C2 (Commercial)

SITE CHARACTERISTICS: The subject property is approximately 20 acres in size. The Home Depot Shopping Center and Sonic Drive-thru restaurant are located north of the site. Madera Market Place is located to the south. Schnoor Avenue fronts the property to the west. A Madera Irrigation District canal and State Route 99 are located directly east of the site. The project site contains unimproved disturbed land.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: An environmental impact report, mitigation monitoring and reporting program, statement of overriding considerations, and related findings for all project components were completed in conjunction with the proposal. The retail shopping center was approved, and the environmental impact report was certified by the Planning Commission on February 12, 2013.

SUMMARY: The shopping center remains compatible with surrounding commercial properties and is designed to be a positive attribute to the area. The information presented in this report supports conditional approval of the requested one-year time extension. No amendments to the original conditions of approval are recommended. It is recommended that a one-year time extension for the site plan review and conditional use permits be approved by the Planning Commission.
APPLICABLE CODES AND PROCEDURES

MMC §10-3.4.0114 Lapse of Site Plan Approval  
MMC §10-3.1311 Termination and Revocation  
California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et. seq., California Environmental Quality Act.

The Commission, in considering the time extension request, may approve, deny or conditionally approve a time extension. If the time extension is denied, the applicant may re-file the site plan review and conditional use permit requests for further consideration by the Planning Commission. The applicant has made a written request for a one-year extension to February 12, 2021 consistent with the Planning Commission’s prior approval of the project.

PRIOR ACTION

The site plan review and conditional use permit entitlements were approved by the Planning Commission on February 12, 2013. Extensions have been granted by the Planning Commission annually since 2014.

ANALYSIS

The project proposes to develop a retail shopping center with up to 191,500 square feet of tenant space on approximately twenty acres of land. A primary anchor space would contain approximately 84,000 square feet gross floor area. Several smaller anchors, shops and freestanding pads would fill out the total square footage. Site Plan Review 2009-21 establishes site plan, building design, and construction requirements for the proposed shopping center. Multiple conditional use permits memorialize uses such as outdoor seating, a drive-thru window, and alcohol sales in conjunction with restaurants and retail stores.

The project site was historically used for agricultural purposes. Recent activity has been limited to annual weed control and the project site remains vacant and unimproved.

The applicant requested an extension to the site plan review and use permits in a written communication dated November 19, 2019. Over the past year, the property owner has been in contact with prospective tenants and is consulting with an engineering firm in providing plans for intersection improvements, water demand and other related improvements. The applicant is “optimistic about commencement of construction in 2020.” As there have not been substantive changes in the City’s Zoning Ordinance over the past year, a new application for the same project would likely generate similar conditions, hence, a time extension rather than a re-filing is appropriate.

If approved by the Commission, the project will have an additional year to commence construction. In total, seven years of time will have been granted to project proponents since the original approval in 2013.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Though approval of an extension to the previously approved site plan review is not specifically addressed in the vision or action plans, the overall project does indirectly support Action 115.1 to “encourage viable economic development“.
RECOMMENDATION

The information presented in this report supports approval of a one-year extension of the site plan review and conditional use permits as conditioned. It is recommended that the Commission consider this information and make a motion to approve the application extension, subject to the recommended conditions.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

The Commission will be taking action regarding the time extension for Site Plan Review 2009-21 and Conditional Use Permits 2013-04, 05, 06 and 07.

Motion 1: Move to approve the requested Time Extension to February 12, 2021, for Site Plan Review 2009-21 and Conditional Use Permits 2013-04, 05, 06 and 07, subject to the original conditions of approval as listed.

Findings

A. Site Plan Review Findings

- The use, as defined by the master site plan, is consistent with the Madera General Plan and the Zoning Ordinance designation on the site, as amended by the proposal.

- The master site plan is consistent with established legislative policies relating to traffic safety, street improvements and environmental quality.

- All buildings and site features proposed are addressed under the environmental impact report (EIR) and mitigation monitoring and reporting program (MMRP) for project adopted on February 12, 2013.

B. Conditional Use Permit Findings

- The proposals to add a drive thru window, outdoor seating, and alcohol sales are ancillary uses to the retail shopping center are consistent with the purposes of the C (Commercial) General Plan designation and the C-2 (Heavy Commercial) Zone District, which provides for these uses subject to the issuance of a conditional use permit.

- There is adequate parking and site area to accommodate the participants of the existing and proposed uses, including stacking distances required for the drive-thru window.

- Because the site has been designed for the development of an integrated shopping center to accommodate a variety of retail and restaurant uses, the conditional uses proposed, as conditioned, will not be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort, morals or general welfare of the neighborhood or the City, and will be compatible with the surrounding area and the City in general.
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

A. Site Plan Review Conditions

General Conditions

1. The project approval is conditioned upon acceptance of these conditions contained herein within 30 calendar days, as evidenced by the applicant’s signature on a required acknowledgment form.

2. The applicant’s or owner’s failure to utilize this site plan review within one year following the date of this approval shall render the site plan review null and void unless a written request for extension has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission.

3. The approval of this site plan review authorizes the development of the improvements as specified in the site plan, subject to the revisions and clarifications required in these conditions of approval below. All standard permits and approvals required for the improvements, including, but not limited to building permits, grading permits, and construction permits, shall be obtained prior to commencing construction. Modifications to actual building/unit sizes may be authorized by the Community Development Director prior to the issuance of building permits. Such changes may not substantively change the nature of the site plan or building elevations and may not increase the overall square footage allowances for the development as specified in the environmental impact report for the project.

4. Any changes to the site plan required after, or because of, the preparation of grading plans, final on and off-site utility plans, or other changes made by the developer will require the approval of the Community Development Director. Substantive changes to the site plan, as determined by the Community Development Director, may require the completion of an amended site plan review process.

5. The development of the shopping center shall be subject to compliance with, and implementation of, the mitigation measures identified in the mitigation monitoring and reporting program approved as part of the Foxglove Shopping Center Final Environmental Impact Report.

Engineering Department

6. Engineering plans for off-site improvements and for on-site public improvements shall comply with Section 27 of the City of Madera Standard Plans and Specifications and approved addenda and shall be submitted to the City Engineer for review and approval, prior to issuance of building permits. Engineering plans shall be wet stamped by a licensed civil engineer and shall be accompanied by a final site plan showing existing and/or re-established lot corner monuments, including a bearing and distance for each boundary line. Plans shall show dedication of all land by easements or other mechanism which may be acceptable to the City for all public streets, sanitary sewer mains (on and off site), water mains (on and off site), storm drains lines (on and off site) and detention basins.

7. Construction work within the City rights-of-way shall not begin prior to obtaining an encroachment permit from the Engineering Division in accordance with Section VI, Madera Municipal Code. All encroachment permits shall be obtained prior to the commencement of construction within the public rights of way.
8. Excavated or graded material shall be sufficiently watered to prevent excessive amounts of air borne
dust. Watering should occur at least twice a day with complete coverage, preferably in the late
morning and after work as directed by the City Engineer.

9. Material transported offsite should be securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.

10. Streets adjacent to the project may be required to be swept and silt removed manually or
mechanically at least once a day as required by the City Engineer. Water hosing will not be allowed
as a cleanup method.

**Grading and Drainage**

11. Under the direction of a licensed architect or civil engineer, a site grading and drainage plan shall
be prepared. No lot fill, rough grading or rough plumbing shall commence until plans are approved.
The grading plan should include cut and fill quantities, along with a City Engineer approval signature
line. This plan shall demonstrate that storm water drainage will be adequately handled. This plan
shall be approved by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of any building permits. Storm drainage
runoff engineering calculations shall be submitted for compliance with City standards.

12. As part of a master grading plan, the applicant shall provide detailed drainage calculations to
demonstrate to the satisfaction of the City Engineer that the existing storm drain basin (Basin
43550) located southwest of the intersection of Foxglove Way and Schnoor Avenue is sufficiently
sized to accommodate both the commercial development and the Fairgrounds related storm water
runoff. Calculations shall be submitted for basin capacity and sizing of onsite main.

13. The site shall be graded to provide drainage to approved storm drainage facilities. Fill in excess of
8-inches requires compaction tests when supporting structures. Geotechnical and soil testing
reports shall be submitted prior to issuance of building permits in compliance with state
requirements.

14. Grading certification by developer’s soils and civil engineers upon completion of each building pad
shall be provided by letter or as built plans prior to temporary or final occupancy.

**Streets and Drives**

15. The development shall implement any and all traffic mitigation measures specified in the Final
Environmental Impact Report and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared for the
Foxglove Shopping Center project. All measures requiring physical improvements shall be
incorporated into civil improvement plans required pursuant to Condition #6.

16. Proposed entrances on North Schnoor Avenue shall be a minimum of 24 feet wide, shall be street
type entrances with minimum face of curb radius of 15 feet and shall include the construction of
standard handicapped ramps with an ADA accessible path from ramp to ramp. Proposed driveways
shall be spaced in accordance with the results of the traffic study prepared for this project.

17. A median island shall be constructed on Foxglove Way that extends from North Schnoor Avenue to
a minimum of 50 feet east of the first driveway on the north side of Foxglove Way.

18. Project driveways on Foxglove Way should be aligned with those on the north side of the street. If
this is not feasible due to overall project design constraints, reasonable measures shall be
implemented to minimize vehicular conflicts.
19. Free and unimpeded access shall be maintained from this development to the Marketplace shopping center located to the south.

20. All public utilities shall be undergrounded, except transformers, which may be mounted on pads.

21. Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the developer shall dedicate an additional 8 feet to an existing 7-foot Public Utility Easement (PUE) to complete a 15-foot PUE along North Schnoor Avenue.

22. Prior to the issuance of the grading permit, the developer shall record a reciprocal ingress/egress, utility and parking easement acceptable to the City of Madera across the entire center that is applicable to all existing and/or future parcels. The easement shall provide the mutual right to cross access and parking for all future uses. With such easements in place, compliance with City parking standards for each building will be based on total number of spaces available at the Center.

23. Prior to issuance of a grading permit, the developer shall cause the existing 60-foot wide easement for access and utilities to be shifted westward to avoid the proposed structures being constructed within the easement.

24. The developer shall reimburse its fair share of cost to the City for previously constructed infrastructure in and on Foxglove Way to the extent that such infrastructure is utilized by the project. The developer shall not be responsible for reimbursement of cost for those improvements removed due to the project’s reconstruction of Foxglove Way or improvements otherwise not incorporated into the project. Determination of fair share costs will be calculated in conjunction with submittal and review of civil plans for off-site improvements.

25. Additional detailed review will be conducted by the City when more detailed off-site street plans, and on/off site utility plans are submitted to the City; additional requirements may be specified based on this subsequent review. This will include, but not be limited to, further refinement to street plan for Foxglove Way alignment from Schnoor Avenue through transition to private drive alignment on the project site.

**Sewer**

26. Sewer service connection shall be constructed to current City standards.

27. Sewer connections larger than 4 inches shall require construction of a manhole.

28. Existing septic tanks, if found, shall be removed, permitted, and inspected by the City of Madera Building Department.

29. Prior to the issuance of encroachment permits to construct utility connections, the developer shall reimburse its fair share of cost to the City for the previously constructed sewer main in North Schnoor Avenue. Total reimbursement due by the developer to the City is $12,293.00.
Water

30. Water service connection(s) shall be constructed to current City standards including water meter(s) located within the City right-of-way and shall read in cubic feet. A backflow prevention device shall be located within private property.

31. A separate water meter and backflow prevention device will be required for landscape consumption.

32. Existing wells, if any, shall be abandoned as directed and permitted by City of Madera for compliance with state standards.

33. Prior to the issuance of encroachment permits to construct utility connections, the developer shall reimburse its fair share of cost to the City for the previously constructed water main in North Schnoor Avenue. Total reimbursement due by the developer to the City is $7,822.00.

34. Additional detailed review of the water system requirements will be conducted by the City when more detailed off-site street plans, and on/off site utility plans are submitted to the City; additional requirements may be specified based on this subsequent review.

Planning Department

Site Plan and Building Design - General

35. This site plan approval authorizes the development of an integrated shopping center with a cohesive parking and circulation system and consistent design criteria. All buildings, regardless of tenant, shall be designed and constructed in a manner consistent with the City’s Design and Development Guidelines for Commercial Development and the master design guidelines prepared for the project.

36. Consistent with the above described guidelines, consideration will be given to all tenants to be allowed to retain important elements of their corporate identity and architectural details which support their branding and themed experience. While buildings and related site features shall incorporate a standard color palette, architectural features, roof types, and materials, etc., they may feature such aforementioned corporate elements and architectural details as well as variations to the color palette. Final elevations for all buildings/units are subject to the approval of the Community Development Director prior to the issuance of building permits.

37. The landscape and irrigation plan submitted for the project shall be in compliance with the State Department of Water Resources Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance.

38. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit, a final landscaping plan for the shopping center shall be submitted which encompasses the following revisions and clarifications:

a. A phasing plan for all site landscaping shall be submitted for approval.

b. All landscaping areas shall have a minimum of 75% vegetative ground cover.

c. Indicate species, size and spacing of shrubs where used. Clear distinction between landscaping symbols should be provided.

d. Clearly designate what is ground cover and what is turf.
e. Landscape islands with shade trees separating facing parking stalls shall be a minimum of 5’x18’ (at outside of curb). Where used, tree wells shall be a minimum of 5’ in length and minimum of 5’ in width (at outside of curb).

f. Raised planters, free standing planters (pots, containers), and tree wells in walking surfaces (with grates) shall be incorporated along storefronts which abut parking spaces.

g. Root barriers shall be provided for all trees which are planted 5’ or less from curb, gutter and walkways.

h. Along the rear of the site, adjacent to the existing MID canal, it is recommended that efforts be made to create a more functional screen of this area for views from SR99. This can be accomplished in a variety of ways, including through the addition of berms or solid fencing, by planting additional evergreen trees, or combination thereof.

i. All landscaping shall be developed with permanent irrigation systems and shall be controlled with an irrigation control system approved by the Parks and Community Services Director. Tree wells should provide for deep watering versus surface watering.

j. All unpaved areas within the public right-of-way along the street frontages shall be landscaped and maintained by the developer/property owner. Landscaping shall be continuous from the curb-face, around the sidewalks, and up to the pavement in the parking area. Detailed landscape and irrigation plans shall be submitted and approved by the Parks and Community Services Director prior to issuance of any building permits. Street trees shall be placed as specified in the approved landscaping plan. No temporary or permanent occupancy of any buildings shall be approved until the landscaping has been installed as per the landscaping phasing plan and approved by the Parks and Community Services Director.

k. Any fencing, landscaping and irrigation within the public right-of-way shall not be installed until the Parks and Community Services Director approves the plans. Any deviation shall require prior written request by the developer and approval by the Parks and Community Services Director. Removal or modification shall be at the developer’s expense. A landscaping water meter (if applicable) shall be connected after a back-flow device.

l. The property owner shall maintain all landscaping in a healthy and well manicured appearance to achieve and maintain the landscaping design approved as part of the project’s landscape and irrigation plan(s). This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring properly operating irrigation equipment at all times, trimming and pruning trees and shrubs, mowing lawns consistent with industry standards, replacing dead or unhealthy vegetation, etc.

m. Landscaping inspection fees for this project shall be paid in accordance with the fee schedule adopted by the Resolution of the City Council, no later than the issuance of building permits for the first building.

39. The location of all exterior transformers and similar utility/service equipment within the parking and circulation areas, common areas, and landscaped areas shall be shown on building plans; above ground features shall be screened with a combination of landscaping, berms, architecturally treated walls, etc. to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director.
40. All building-related electrical and utility service panels and equipment shall be enclosed in mechanical rooms or screened from public views with architectural features or landscaping.

41. Roof access ladders shall be located inside the buildings or be located within an enclosure matching the adjacent architectural features.

42. Submit for review and approval a lighting plan and information (tear sheets) on fixtures. This should be accomplished in conjunction the requirements of the mitigation measures required for the project.

43. Outdoor lighting fixtures shall be directed downward and shielded away from adjacent properties, unless accent lighting is specifically authorized by the City. Any nuisance lighting conditions which are found to exist after commencement of operation shall be corrected by the owner to a configuration that is acceptable to City at the owner’s expense, within (30) days of notification by the City.

44. A plan for internal pedestrian crossings shall be submitted for review and administrative approval by the Community Development Director which indicates the type and design of pavement markers (e.g., stamped concrete, pavers) pursuant to the provisions of the Master Design Guidelines. The use of painted lines in lieu of alternative pavement types to delineate pedestrian connections should be used only where alternative pavement is limited by ADA access requirements.

45. To the extent feasible, pedestrian connections/sidewalks shall be meandered with landscape strips to avoid long, continuous paved edges. Meander may be accomplished with a curvilinear or angular pattern.

46. The placement and design of bollards or planters adjacent to store fronts shall be shown on the building plans for all buildings. Any such features shall be consistent with the overall architectural style for the center. Bollards shall have decorative features rather than simple solid pipes or posts and may vary by design.

47. Submit for review and administrative approval by the Community Development Director, a plan and detail for refuse storage areas. Where any side is exposed to public view, landscape screening (and berm, where possible) shall be incorporated, or the enclosure shall be surfaced with an architectural treatment compatible with the adjacent building.

48. Provide details and locations for cart storage areas in conjunction with each building permit application. Storage areas shall be buffered with landscaping or architectural features. The only signage allowed will be a basic symbol.

49. Vandalism and graffiti shall be corrected at the owner’s expense within 72 hours of notification.

Site Plan and Building Design – Specific Modifications

50. Light sconces or other architectural embellishments should be included along building frontages to break up wall mass. Wainscoting and/or banding treatment are recommended at columns and entryways.

51. Major A – The building shall be modified in accordance with the provisions of the City’s Design and Development Guidelines. A stucco finish shall be applied to the front exterior elevation, currently
presented as a painted CMU (Concrete Masonry Unit) block finish. This would bring the lines and exterior finish of the building closer to the conceptual elevations of other buildings in the shopping center.

52. **Shops A1 and A2** – The buildings shall be modified in accordance with the provisions of the City’s Design and Development Guidelines. Landscape features such as tree wells and/or planter beds shall be included at the rear of buildings where currently none are indicated. The use of awnings or other structures placed over rear service doors should be considered.

53. **Buildings B & C** – The buildings shall be modified in accordance with the provisions of the City’s Design and Development Guidelines. Along the southeasterly elevation of Building C (side of building) the project shall include planters and/or tree wells along the curb face where parking spaces abut building. Architectural features shall be included to break up the wall massing of this side of the building, such as vertical landscaping, trellis structures, or combination thereof. The use of awnings or other structures placed over rear service doors should be considered.

54. **Pads D, E & F** – The rear (northwesterly elevation) of the building proposed for Pad F shall be modified consistent with the General Plan and the Design and Development Guidelines to take into consideration public views from Foxglove Way. A “four-sided building” shall be designed for Pad F and the utility equipment shall be screened by landscaping or by incorporating the mechanical areas into the architectural design of the building. Utility equipment for buildings proposed for Pads D & E should be located at the rear of buildings (southerly elevations), out of public views.

55. **Pad G – Pharmacy Use** - The building shall be modified to incorporate a smooth textured finish to soften the CMU construction, using colors from the center’s primary color palette. Along the northwesterly elevation of Building C (frontage of building facing Foxglove Way) the project shall include planters and/or tree wells along the curb face where parking spaces abut building. The screening enclosure for the trash compactor and trash bins must carry the same architectural treatments as the adjoining walls.

56. **A design for the transit site shall be submitted for review and approval of the Community Development Director. The design should:**

- Encourage the use of transit services by providing a positive appealing space.
- Be located in an area inclusive of the general activities.
- Be a well landscaped attractive setting.
- Provide separation from the traffic.
- Provide a shade and rain shelter that is architecturally consistent with the area,
- Include provisions for seating, trash receptables and a screened area for depositing carts.

**Master Sign Plan**

57. **A master sign plan shall be developed to demonstrate unified sign styles within the center and to establish allowances for individual sign permits when they are proposed. The plan shall cover building signage, monument signage, or other freestanding signage including any proposed “directional monument”**. The master sign plan shall be submitted by the applicant and reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of building permits.
58. All freestanding directional, monument and/or pylon type signs shall be designed to be consistent with the architecture and color schemes of the commercial center. Presentation of multiple tenant names and or symbols shall be done in a manner that provides a uniform consistent presentation. Consistency may be achieved in several ways including but not limited to uniform background colors, alignment of lettering, consistency in font sizes or types. The intent is not to prevent unique tenant identification, but to present corporate images/logos and signage in a thematically coordinated manner rather than in an uncoordinated, dissimilar fashion.

FIRE REQUIREMENTS

59. Fire Department connections shall be located in posted fire lanes 40 feet from the structure protected. Where multiple Fire Department connections are located together, each shall be clearly identified as to the building it serves.

60. Portable fire extinguishers must be provided. A minimum of at least one, 2A10BC-rated portable fire extinguisher is required for each 3,000 square feet of area or fraction thereof. The fire extinguishers must be mounted in visible and accessible locations with 75 feet of travel distance of all portions of each building.

61. Fire sprinklers are required in most buildings. The exact use and occupancy of the smaller structures will determine if fire sprinklers are required.

62. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required in buildings with two or more required exits.

63. Fire lanes need to be provided and clearly identified on the Site Plan including the method of posting. NOTE: The drive access along the north side of the project does not appear to provide proper turning movements for fire apparatus. This may require a slight redesign in building placement or parking layout.

64. The addresses for each building must be visible from the street and the method and placement must be shown on the front elevation of the plans.

65. A fire alarm system for supervision of the fire sprinkler system is required. An evacuation alarm may be required based upon the requirements of California Fire Code Chapter 9 and California Building Code Chapter 9.

66. When commercial cooking systems are proposed, a fire suppression system will be required.

67. The specific use of the “Patio Areas” will need to be identified during the plan review phase.

68. There does not appear to be an adequate accessible path of travel at the rear of Building B and possibly not at Building A either. This shall be addressed prior to submittal for building permits.

69. The proposal shows interconnectivity with the rear of the Marketplace Shopping Center. The fire access lanes need to be identified for review. Careful attention should be given regarding maintenance of the fire lanes.

70. Note, this project will be subject to the City of Madera’s new “Shell Building Policy” if such buildings are proposed as is common with this type of development.
71. Cross-access agreements are required for the multiple properties that comprise this site. The access to the existing Home Depot site will be affected and this must be clarified.

72. All onsite underground fire mains and above ground fire protection systems (such as fire hydrants) must be tested and operational prior to the delivery of combustible construction materials to the site.

Building Plans, Permits, Processes

73. Existing property corners shall be found or installed and noted on the site plan, along with the distance from the property lines to the face of curb and street centerline. New property corners shall require record of survey prior to any site construction or issuance of building permits.

74. For each building to be constructed, provide a minimum of 2 sets of the following plans to the Building Division for the initial plan check. The size of plans shall be at least 36” x 24”. A complete set of plans shall be prepared by and bearing the stamp and signature of an individual licensed to practice architecture, including the following required drawings drawn to an appropriate scale:

   a. Site plan bearing City approval or a plan incorporating all site related conditions
   b. Grading plan prepared by an individual licensed to practice land surveying, civil engineering or architecture
   c. Floor plan
   d. Site utilities plan showing on-site sanitary sewer, water, storm sewer, water meters, back flow prevention devices, roof drains, etc., and the connections to off-site utilities
   e. All exterior elevations
   f. Engineering plans and calculations
   g. Foundation plan
   h. Ceiling framing plan
   i. Roof framing plan
   j. Electrical plan
   k. Plumbing plan
   l. Mechanical plan
   m. Sections and details
   n. Disabled access compliance drawings
   o. Energy compliance drawings and documentation
   p. Landscape plan
   q. Landscape irrigation plan

75. The site plan submitted with each building permit application shall include all modifications and clarifications required by these conditions of approval, as applicable to the building proposed for construction. Information required on the plan shall include, but not be limited to: water and sewer service, water meter and sewer cleanout, backflow preventer location and type, existing fire hydrants within (100) feet and street lights within (100) feet, traffic striping and signing, and any other existing or proposed improvements.

76. The plans submitted for building permits shall show compliance with the herein listed conditions of approval and shall comply with the uniform building codes, along with federal and state laws, local resolutions and ordinances. Site development shall be consistent with the approved site plan, floor plan and elevations. Any deviations shall require prior written request and approval from the
Community Development Director. The site plan and all plans submitted for building permit purposes shall be at a scale large enough to allow all dimensions and distances to be legible.

77. Site and buildings must meet federal and state disability access regulations. Each first-floor unit must meet accessibility requirements from public spaces and parking lots to each unit. In addition, inside each unit all rooms must meet accessibility and be adaptable for future compliance to disabled access regulations.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

78. The SJVAPCD has commented that the project is subject to District Rule 9510 (Indirect Source Review). District Rule 9510 states that a project proponent shall submit an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) prior to final discretionary approval. It is recommended that the project applicant consult with the SJVAPCD regarding District Rule 9510, as well as any other applicable District Rules and Regulations prior to submittal for building permits.

Caltrans

79. The project applicant shall enter into a fair share agreement with Caltrans to address off-site improvements as identified in the Draft EIR and MMRP prepared for the Foxglove Shopping Center.

80. The applicant shall make all required traffic improvements as identified in the Draft EIR and MMRP prepared for the Foxglove Shopping Center.

Madera Irrigation District

81. The applicant shall coordinate with Madera Irrigation District regarding the location and configuration of required fencing along the canal alignment.

B. Conditional Use Permit Conditions

General

1. Conditioned upon acceptance of the conditions of approval contained herein within thirty (30) days, as evidenced by the Applicant’s dated signatures on the required acknowledgment.

2. An applicant’s failure to utilize any use permit within one year following the date of this approval shall render the conditional use permit null and void unless a written request for extension has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission for the specified permit.

Outdoor Seating (CUP 2013-04)

3. The defined outdoor seating areas for the site shall be limited to use in conjunction with food and beverage service and may be defined and separated from the parking area by a planter or low fence. The seating areas shall not interfere with required path of travel required for the center.

Drive-thru Use (CUP 2013-05)

4. A drive-thru window is proposed for the drug store use. There shall be adequate area to accommodate the drive-thru window and sufficient vehicle stacking area in both drive-thru lanes.
The drive-thru window shall be incorporated into the design of the building and not substantively detract from the overall architectural value of the building.

**Alcohol Sales for On-Site Consumption (CUP 2013-06...)**

5. Alcohol sales for on-site consumption of beer, wine and spirits, within the shopping center project shall be limited to sale and consumption within restaurant/cafe tenants where alcohol is an accessory component to food service. Alcohol sale for on-site consumption shall be limited to Shops A1 and A2, and also for Pads D, E and F.

6. A separate conditional use permit shall be assigned to each specific location. Each shall operate independent of the others and each shall require individual review for compliance and/or any requested extensions or modifications.

7. Specific locations approved for alcohol sales for on-site consumption shall have the following use permit numbers assigned:
   - Shop A1 - CUP 2013-06A1
   - Shop A2 - CUP 2013-06A2
   - Pad D - CUP 2013-06D
   - Pad E - CUP 2013-06E
   - Pad F - CUP 2013-06F

8. The service and consumption of alcohol in the outdoor seating area for the five identified locations will require that a specific seating area be established, and defined with a fence and gate, and that alcohol not be taken from that area.

9. **Hours of operation for the restaurant/café uses shall not be earlier than 8:00 a.m. or later than midnight.**

9. Sales of alcoholic beverages for on-site consumption shall not occur earlier than 8:00 a.m. or later than midnight.

10. The applicant must, prior to commencing the use contemplated by this permit, provide evidence of a valid ABC license for the sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption on-site of the premises of the restaurant/café use.

11. Music or obnoxious noise from the operation or business shall not be audible to persons in the public rights-of-way or on adjacent or nearby properties.

12. Loitering shall be prohibited in, upon the premises and in adjacent, and nearby areas both public and private.

13. An adult operator or employee 21 years of age or older shall be on site during all hours of operation.

14. The limitation/restriction on the number and locations for alcohol sales for on-site consumption within the center, as part of this approval, shall not be construed as a prohibition from a future conditional use permit application being submitted to the City for consideration.
Alcohol Sales for Off-Site Consumption (CUP 2013-07...)

15. Alcohol sales for off-site consumption within the shopping center project shall be limited to Major A (Grocery Store use) and Pad G (Pharmacy use) locations.

16. A separate conditional use permit shall be assigned to each specific location. Each shall operate independent of each other and require individual review for compliance and/or any requested extensions or modifications.

17. Specific locations approved for alcohol sales for off-site consumption shall have the following use permit numbers assigned:
   - Major A (Grocery Store use) – CUP 2013-07A
   - Pad G (Pharmacy use) – CUP 2013-07G

18. Sales of alcoholic beverages for off-site consumption shall not occur earlier than 8:00 a.m. or later than midnight.

19. The applicant must, prior to commencing the use contemplated by this permit, provide evidence of a valid ABC license for the sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises of the store.

20. Loitering shall be prohibited in, upon the premises and in adjacent, and nearby areas both public and private.

21. An adult operator or employee 21 years of age or older shall be on site during all hours of operation.

22. The limitation/restriction on the number and locations for alcohol sales for off-site consumption within the center, as part of this approval, shall not be construed as a prohibition from a future conditional use permit application being submitted to the City for consideration.

(OR)

Motion 2: Move to continue the requested time extension for Site Plan Review 2009-21 and Conditional Use Permits 2013-04, 05, 06 and 07 to a date specified, for the following reasons or in order for the following information to be provided: (specify)

(OR)

Motion 3: Move to deny the requested time extension for Site Plan Review 2009-21 and Conditional Use Permits 2013-04, 05, 06 and 07: (specify)

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Site Plan
Attachment 2: Extension Request Letter
Attachment 1: Site Plan
November 19, 2019

Jesus Orozco
City of Madera
205 West Fourth Street
Madera, CA 93637

Re: Foxglove and Schnoor - Site Plan Review – 2009-21

Jesus,

The purpose of this letter is to request a one year extension for the Site Plan Review approval for SPR 2009-21 and all of the various conditional use permits that were granted and approved with it at the Planning Commission meeting on February 12, 2013. The use permits include, without limitation, conditional use permits for outdoor seating, a drive-thru window and alcohol sales. Now that the national economy is showing positive growth, and although the Central Valley tends to lag behind, we are seeing more activity with prospective tenants. Within the last 60 days we commenced a dialogue with a rational major tenant. The prospective tenant has approved the EIR and appears to be very interested in locating in the Center. We worked with an engineering firm to provide us with the engineering for the intersection improvements, water demand, and other issues contained in the mitigation measures contained in the EIR and believe we have worked through these issues. We are optimistic about commencement of construction in 2020, but that will depend upon our success in our negotiations with this prospective major tenant. We would like to request another one year extension from the City and I would appreciate it if you would please put that on the calendar of the City for action prior to the date of expiration. A check in the amount of $1,650.00 for the extension filing fees will be sent to your attention this week.

As you know, I believe that the efforts, costs, and obligations made and incurred by the Owner, in reliance upon the SPR-2009-21 and related CUPs would justify a finding that those entitlements have become vested and perfected, but I anticipate it will be some time before the City will be able to review that and agree, so out of an abundance of caution, this application is submitted for an extension.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Fairbank
Attorney at Law
PROPOSAL: Consideration of a request for a precise plan that will allow for the development of a 102-unit multifamily complex (additional to four existing units) and a variance from the minimum number of parking stall requirements, and the minimum open space requirements of the PD (Planned Development) Zone District.

APPLICANT: Ubaldo Garcia

ADDRESS: Multiple Addresses
(1100 block Merced Street)

APPLICATION: PPL 2019-06 & VAR 2019-05

LOCATION: The project is located southwest of the intersection of Merced Street and Ellis Street.

STREET ACCESS: The project proposes access to Merced Street.

PARCEL SIZE: Approximately 3.67 acres.

GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: HD (High Density)

ZONING DISTRICT: PD-1500 (Planned Development)

SITE CHARACTERISTICS: The 3.67-acre project site is located west side of Merced Street and south of Ellis Street, an excludes the property located immediately adjacent to the intersection. The property was previously approved for a planned development subdivision consisting of 38 single-family residences with private street access to Merced Street. The private drive street access and four of the approved dwelling units have been constructed. Surrounding uses include planned development single family residences to the west, rural residential to the north, single-family residential to the east, and a vacant parcel to the south. Sunset Avenue to the south. Other nearby developments include multi-family residential and an elementary school.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: An initial study and negative declaration have been prepared for consideration by the Planning Commission (Commission), consistent with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines.

SUMMARY: The applicant is proposing a precise plan for the development of a 102-unit multifamily complex which will be integrated with the four existing residences. A variance application proposes a reduction of the open space area and number of vehicular parking spaces to an amount less than the standards specified by the PD (Planned Development) Zone District and the Madera Municipal Code.
(MMC). The development’s proposed site design, architecture, vehicular access with parking, and open space areas may be considered to cumulatively advance the achievement of the goals and policies of the General Plan. It can be determined that granting a variance to allow for less than the minimum 750 square feet of open space and three off-street parking spaces per dwelling unit is justifiable as the application of these static requirements do not account for the General Plan’s goals and policies advocating higher residential densities within well-designed, efficient and walkable communities which promote the achievement of improved air quality and efficient use of water resources.

APPLICABLE CODES AND PROCEDURES

MMC § 10-3-4.101 Planned Development Zones
MMC § 10-3.1401 Variances – Necessity
California Public Resources Code § 21000, California Environmental Quality Act “CEQA”

The City’s Zoning Ordinance allows for the approval of a precise plan subject to the Planning Commission (Commission) being able to make findings that the establishment, maintenance or operation of the development will not, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the City.

If the Commission cannot make the appropriate findings, the development should be denied. Conditions may be attached to the approval of the precise plan to ensure compatibility. Project design may be altered and on- or off-site improvements required in order to make the project compatible with nearby uses. In addition, the application may be subject to further review, modification or revocation by the Commission, as necessary.

A variance may be granted by the Commission where practical difficulties, unnecessary hardships, or results that are inconsistent with the general purposes of the Zoning Ordinance may result from the strict and literal application of any of the provisions of the Ordinance. Necessary conditions for granting a variance can only occur when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location, or surroundings, the strict application of the Zoning Ordinance deprives a property owner of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity under identical zoning classifications. If the Commission cannot make the appropriate findings, the variance request should be denied. Conditions may be attached to the approval of the variance to ensure compatibility. Project design may be altered and on- or off-site improvements required in order to make the project compatible with nearby uses.

PRIOR ACTION

Development of the original project area was first approved as part of a sixty (60) dwelling unit residential development. The west half was completed with twenty-two (22) single-family residential lots accessed by a public cul-de-sac street. Development also began on the east half of the original site with residences being constructed on four of the thirty-eight (38) planned development lots. An emergency access-utility easement exists connecting from the developed cul-de-sac to the area now comprised of four (4) single-family residences and proposed for development of an additional one-hundred and two (102) multiple-family residences.
ANALYSIS

Density Requirements
Development within the HD (High Density) General Plan land use designation requires a density range of between 15 and 50 dwelling units per acre. The PD 1500 (Planned Development) Zone District provides for a maximum density of one unit for each 1,500 square feet of site area which is 29 dwelling units per acre. Development of the 3.65-acre project site at the maximum density allowed by the PD 1500 zoning would accommodate the proposed 106 dwelling units. The proposal for 102 additional units (106 total) provides consistency with the policies of the General Plan’s Land Use Element specific to residential density in the HD (High Density) General Plan land use designation and the PD-1500 (Planned Development) Zone District.

Precise Plan
Precise plans are utilized within the PD (Planned Development) Zone District to establish specific development and improvement standards for a proposed project. Precise plans address site features, such as infrastructure and services, circulation and access, appearance, landscaping and open space.

The applicant proposes a new precise plan which would replace the development plan for the area of the 32 vacant lots in order to allow for the development of 102 multiple-family dwelling units encompassed within six residential buildings. The 102 dwelling units would be accommodated within six buildings, each of which would be three-stories in height with seventeen dwelling units and eight garage parking spaces. Thirty dwelling units will be one-bedroom and seventy-two will be two-bedroom units and each will have a washer/dryer. There are two separate larger common open space areas proposed to accommodate numerous children’s playground features, a water park feature, sitting areas, and an artificially turfed multipurpose sports field. Other lineal open spaces are proposed to be landscaped with paved pedestrian walkways connecting the dwelling units to parking and the various passive-use open space features including cabana structures. Passive and casual use open space areas will be landscaped with turf and trees in accordance with an approved landscape plan.

Public Infrastructure
Public infrastructure and utilities required by the Madera Municipal Code (MMC) and the General Plan will be constructed as necessary to support the project. Public street, water supply for domestic and fire suppression use, sewer collection and stormwater drainage infrastructure improvements consistent with the City’s master plans were constructed to support the previously approved individual planned development lots. Any additional improvements or modifications that may be necessary to support the substantial increase in the number of dwelling units, building size and location, and site coverage will be completed by the new project if determined necessary by the City Engineer.

The proposed development is required to be a part of the Citywide Community Facilities District (CFD) 2005-01 to collect assessments for increased demand on fire, police, storm water drainage and parks. The properties are also required to be included within a lighting and landscape maintenance district.

General Plan Conformance
Any project involving new construction requires consistency with the City of Madera’s General Plan goals and policies. There are a multitude of goals and policies specific to each type of use in the City, such as residential, commercial, industrial, etc. The proposal of a new residential multifamily complex requires analysis of the General Plan’s goals and policies specific to residential development including site design, architecture, landscaping and open space.
Site Design

Applicable General Plan Policies

Policy CD-1 The City of Madera will require that all new development is well-planned and of the highest possible quality. The City will seek to build an image of Madera as a contemporary small city with vibrant, livable neighborhoods and walkable pedestrian- and bicycle-oriented development.

Policy CD-2 All new development shall adhere to the basic principles of high-quality urban design, architecture and landscape architecture including, but not limited to, human-scaled design, pedestrian orientation, interconnectivity of street layout, siting buildings to hold corners, entryways, gathering points and landmarks.

Policy CD-4 Site layout and building design shall take into consideration Madera’s warm, dry climate, by including trees, landscaping and architectural elements to provide shade.

Policy CD-29 All housing units shall be oriented to the street, parks, or a shared common area.

The site layout proposes six large residential structures with pedestrian access linkages to large centralized common open space areas designed for both active and passive uses. The design also provides a circumferential walkway together with linking paved pathways providing pedestrian access to dwelling units, open spaces and parking areas.

The design utilizes the existing private drive vehicular access network which is comprised of one east/west main entrance drive with two north/south drives which intersect the main entrance drive. These drives provide direct access to garage, carport and open vehicle parking spaces. The internal visual perspective from some of the dwellings along these drives maybe distracted by these parking facilities as well as vehicles parked on the open ribbon driveways in front of the garages. However, the proposed design of pedestrian pathways and orientation of dwelling units also provides physical and visual access to the substantial open landscaped areas as well.

The existing public street-scape features constructed in accordance with previously approved zoning entitlements will remain along the site’s Merced and Ellis Street frontages. These features are comprised of a curvilinear sidewalk with landscaping within the public right-of-way and a six-foot high block wall along the property line.

Cumulatively, the site design provides substantial compliance with the applicable General Plan policies reference above.

Architecture

Applicable Policies

Policy CD-1 The City of Madera will require that all new development is well-planned and of the highest possible quality. The City will seek to build an image of Madera as a contemporary small city with vibrant, livable neighborhoods and walkable pedestrian- and bicycle-oriented development.

Policy CD-33 The exterior of residential buildings shall be varied and articulated to provide visual interest to the streetscape.

Policy CD-34 The exterior of residential buildings shall reflect attention to detail as necessary to produce high architectural design and construction quality. Where side and/or rear exterior elevations of residential buildings are visible from any street or public rights-of-way, they shall incorporate architectural treatments in keeping with the front (primary) elevation.
Policy CD-35  The City encourages a variety of features such as front porches and verandas in all new residential development.

The proposed elevations provide a highly articulated façade with gable roof features which provide an appearance reminiscent of a California bungalow style. The elevations also provide a variation in appearance with spacing and orientation of windows, door openings and patio decks. Exterior finish materials include a variety of stone-veneer, stucco and horizontal cement siding. The design features and use of a variety of materials provide a pleasing, varied and articulated exterior appearance on all sides of the residential buildings and the incorporated garage parking spaces. The covered carport parking structures will have roof line and material consistent with the residential building style. These features provide an architectural and visual design appeal and contribute favorably to visual character of the neighborhood setting.

Cumulatively, the proposed elevations comply with the goals and policies of the General Plan.

Landscaping and Open Space
Applicable Policies
CD – Goal 4  Attractive Streetscapes in All Areas of Madera
Policy LU-21  Multi-family projects shall include functional, accessible outdoor areas and improvements which provide space for both private and public gatherings. These may include tot lots for pre-school children; passive recreation areas for lounging, sun bathing, barbecuing, quiet conversation and reading; and private patios or balconies. To the extent possible, these areas shall be shaded by trees and/or shade structures.

Landscaping plans are required as an element of a precise plan. Attention to detail and aesthetic design are necessary conformity with the Community Design Element. Staff recommends landscape and irrigation plans consistent with the State’s Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval prior to issuance of building permits.

The proposed site plan includes notable landscaped common open space areas which include children’s play areas and features. Accessible common areas are also proposed which are suitable for enjoyment of passive recreation activities such as lounging and barbecuing, conversation and reading. Individual private balconies are also provided for each dwelling unit.

The proposed site development plan with outdoor amenities and landscaped areas demonstrates consistency with Policy LU-21.

Open Space Requirements
The City’s parkland acquisition ordinance establishes procedures for requiring the dedication of parkland, the payment of fees in-lieu thereof, or a combination of both. In this case, the payment of fees in-lieu of dedicated parkland is required.

The PD Zone District requires a minimum of 750 square feet of open space per residential unit, which equates to a minimum requirement of 76,500 square feet of open space. The proposed site plan provides for approximately 62,170 square feet of common access open space and approximately 13,286 square feet of private open space. Cumulatively this provides 709 square feet of open space per dwelling unit. The project variance application includes the proposed reduction of the open space requirements to allow the proposed 5.5% reduction of the open space requirement.
Parking

In PD (Planned Development) zones, parking requirements are addressed as a component of required precise plans on a case-by-case basis. In this case, the project is required to utilize the traditional parking standards for a multi-family housing project. The parking standards for the project redesign and development of 102 dwelling units is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIT TYPE</th>
<th># OF UNIT TYPE</th>
<th># OF STALLS REQD.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 bedroom</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45 (30 covered)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 bedroom</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>144 (72 covered)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Parking</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27 (uncovered)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parking Spaces Required: 216 (102 covered)
Covered Spaces Provided: 100
Uncovered Spaces Provided: 71
Total Parking Spaces Provided: 171

The proposed development design depicts 123 parking spaces comprised of 48 enclosed garage parking spaces, 52 covered carport parking spaces and 23 open uncovered parking spaces. An additional 48 tandem parking spaces are available in driveways for those residents who rent/lease the garage parking spaces. This totals 171 parking spaces allocated to the 102 dwelling units proposed by the project, whereas 216 total parking spaces are required by the Zoning Ordinance. The four existing detached residences each have a two-car garages served by a two-car driveway providing potentially eight parking spaces for these occupants, whereas four spaces are required. This provides a total 179 parking spaces for a total of 106 dwelling units proposed for the project site. The number of parking stalls proposed is less than the minimum number of parking stalls required, necessitating approval of a variance from the City’s parking requirements.

Variance Request

The applicant has submitted a project design with information indicating that the proposed design strives to achieve a residential density consistent with the High Density Residential planned use and the property’s PD-1500 zoning while providing appropriate site amenities. The application requests a variance to authorize the reduction of open space area and number of parking spaces to amounts less than that which would otherwise be required by Zoning Ordinance standards.

Variance Requirements

There are two findings that must be made in order to grant a variance, which are stated as follows:

1. Where practical difficulties, unnecessary hardships, or results inconsistent with the general purposes of this chapter may result from the strict and literal application of any of the provisions of this chapter, a variance may be granted.

2. Variances shall be granted only when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location, or surroundings, the strict application of the zoning regulations deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity under identical zoning classifications. Any variance granted shall be subject to such conditions as will assure that the adjustment thereby authorized shall not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which such property is located.
Justification Findings
Although the PD-1500 Zone District allows for a maximum density of 29 dwelling units per acre, the requirement of 750 square feet of open space for each dwelling unit remains applicable similar to a PD-3000 (14.5 dwelling units per acre) or PD-4500 (9.69 dwelling units per acre). By comparison the R (Residential) Zone District standards reduce the area of minimum open space required as density increases. The R (3) District (one dwelling unit per 1800 square feet of site area) allows for 500 square feet of open space per dwelling unit. The PD Zone District does not provide for a similar reduction and all densities require the same amount (750 square feet) of open space.

The Zoning Ordinance parking standards specify that 216 parking spaces be provided with 102 of these being covered parking spaces. It is proposed the covered spaces and uncovered spaces will be provided. Options might be available to provide additional open space and off-street parking which would necessitate substantial redesign and reconstruction of existing improvements or substantial reduction in the number of dwelling units. does not make a distinction between the various residential densities, their design, location and likely attraction to various demographic characteristics and household sizes.

The applicant has proposed a design, which considered in its entirety, provides for an enduring and desirable living environment in accordance with the General Plan’s goals and policies for better site design, livability, walkability, sustainability and better architecture design. Because of the size of the property and the constraints of existing improvements, the open space and parking standards of the PD Zone District may be considered infeasible and inappropriate. The proposed development design has incorporated many features to demonstrate an otherwise General Plan-conformant project satisfying the intent of providing for high-density residential living opportunities, reducing demand for and consumption of agricultural lands and other natural resources. Based on these considerations a justification can be made to allow for less than the required amount of open space and number of parking stalls for this proposed multifamily residential project, as conditioned.

Other Department and Agency Comments
The project was reviewed by various City Departments and outside agencies. The responses and recommendations have been incorporated into the recommended conditions of approval included in this report.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

The first of the four core vision statements is “A Well-Planned City.” The Commission, considering how the project and infrastructure can be maintained, is actively implementing this key concept of the Vision Plan. Moreover, approval of the project will help provide consistency with Strategy 131, which states, “Create well-planned neighborhoods throughout Madera that promote connectivity and inclusiveness with a mix of densities.”

RECOMMENDATION

The information presented in this report provides support for the adoption of a negative declaration, conditional approval of the precise plan and a variance request. It is recommended that the Commission consider the information in this report, as well as testimony in the public hearing, and make a determination on PPL 2019-06 and VAR 2019-05, subject to the findings and conditions of approval.
PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

The Commission will be acting on the negative declaration, Precise Plan (PPL) 2019-06 and Variance (VAR) 2019-05 and determine to either:

- Approve the applications with or without conditions
- Continue the hearing, or
- Deny the applications

Any action by the Commission approving or denying the applications is subject to appeal to the City Council within fifteen calendar days of the Commission’s action.

Motion 1a:  Move to adopt a negative declaration prepared for the project, consistent with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), based on and subject to the findings as listed;

Findings
- An initial study and negative declaration have been prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) that determines there is no substantial evidence the project will have a significant effect on the environment, and that the document reflects the independent judgement of the Planning Commission of the City of Madera after considering all of the information in the entire record before it, and is hereby adopted in accordance with CEQA.

(AND)

Motion 1b:  Move to approve PPL 2019-06 and VAR 2019-05, subject to the findings and conditions of approval as listed;

Findings
- An initial study and negative declaration have been prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) that determines there is no substantial evidence the project will have a significant effect on the environment, and that the document reflects the independent judgement of the Planning Commission of the City of Madera after considering all of the information in the entire record before it, and is hereby adopted in accordance with CEQA.

- City services and utilities are available or can be extended to serve the area.

- Precise Plan 2019-06 is consistent with the purpose and intent of the PD (Planned Development) Zone District and does not conflict with City standards or other provisions of the Code.

- Precise Plan 2019-06 satisfies the requirements for precise plans per Madera Municipal Code (MMC) Section 10-3.4.104.

- Precise Plan 2019-06 is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan.

- Precise Plan 2019-06 is compatible with the neighborhood and is not expected to be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort or general welfare of the neighborhood or the City.
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

General Conditions

1. Project approval is conditioned upon acceptance of the conditions of approval contained herein, as evidenced by receipt in the Planning Department of the applicant’s signature upon an Acknowledgement and Acceptance of Conditions within thirty days of the date of approval for PPL 2019-06.

2. All plans submitted for on-site construction or building permits must incorporate and reflect all requirements outlined in the herein listed conditions of approval. Should the need for any deviations from these requirements arise, or for any future changes or additions not considered by the Planning Commission, they may be requested in writing for consideration of approval by the Planning Manager. The Planning Manager may determine that substantive changes require formal modification to the precise plan by the Planning Commission.

3. All conditions of approval shall be the sole financial responsibility of the applicant/owner, except where specified in the conditions of approval listed herein or mandated by statutes.

4. It shall be the responsibility of the property owner to ensure that any required permits, inspections and approvals from any regulatory agency shall be obtained from the concerned agency prior to any building permit final issuance.

5. The project shall be developed in accordance with the conditions of approval listed herein and the approved site plan, floor plans, and elevation drawings. Minor modifications to the approved plans necessary to meet regulatory or engineering constraints may be made with the approval of the Planning Manager. All on- and off-site improvements shall be completed in advance of any request for building permit final inspection.

6. Prior to issuance of building permits or any future division of the property, the applicant at their sole expense shall cause the property to be annexed into the Citywide Community Facilities District No. 2005-01 and shall pay all applicable fees.

Building Department

7. At time of submittal for building permit plan check, a minimum of three (3) sets of the following plans to the Building Department is required. Plans shall be prepared by an individual licensed to practice architecture and include the following required drawings drawn to an appropriate scale:

   a) Site plan bearing City approval or a plan incorporating all site related conditions.
   b) Grading plan prepared by an individual licensed to practice land surveying, civil engineering or architecture.
   c) Floor plan - The uses of all rooms and activity areas shall be identified on the plans.
   d) All exterior elevations.
   e) Site utilities plan showing on-site sanitary sewer, water, storm sewer, water meters, backflow prevention devices, roof drains, etc., and the connections to off-site utilities.

8. Current State of California and federal accessibility requirements shall apply to the entire site and all structures and parking thereon. Compliance shall be checked at permit stage, shall be confirmed at final inspection, and shall apply to proposed and future development.
Engineering Department

General
9. Nuisance onsite lighting shall be redirected as requested by City Engineer within 48 hours of notification.

10. Impact fees shall be paid at time of building permit issuance.

11. The developer shall pay all required fees for completion of project. Fees due may include but shall not be limited to the following: plan review, easement acceptance, encroachment permit processing, and improvement inspection fees.

12. Improvement plans signed and sealed by an engineer shall be submitted to the Engineering Division in accordance with the submittal process.

13. The improvement plans for the project shall include the most recent version of the City’s General Notes.

14. In the event archeological resources are unearthed or discovered during any construction activities on site, construction activities shall cease, and the Community Development Director or City Engineer shall be notified so that procedures required by state law can be implemented.

15. Improvements within the City right-of-way require an encroachment permit from the Engineering Division.

16. All off-site improvements shall be completed prior to issuance of final occupancy.

17. The developer shall be required to merge the parcels prior to issuance of a building permit. The developer shall pay a $400 fee for the current fee in effect with the Engineering Department.

18. The applicant shall coordinate with the United State Post Office relative to the proposed location of the postal boxes for the project.

Sewer
19. New or existing sewer service connection(s) shall be constructed or upgraded to current City standards.

20. Existing sewer service connection(s) that will not be used for the project shall be abandoned at the mains per current City of Madera standards.

21. Sewer main connections 6” and larger diameter shall require manhole installation.

22. Existing septic tanks, if found, shall be removed pursuant to issuance of a permit and inspection by the City of Madera Building Department.

Storm Drain
23. Support calculations shall be provided that provide the existing storm drain facilities are capable of intercepting runoff in accordance with the provisions of the Storm Drainage System Master Plan.
This project shall comply with the design criteria as listed on the National Pollutant Elimination Systems (NPDES) General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4’s) as mandated by Water Quality Order No. 2013-0001-DWQ, NPDES General Permit No. CAS000004. For the purpose of this proposed development, post development runoff shall match or be less than pre-development runoff. The development shall submit to future inspections by the City or other designated agencies relative to the improvements installed as a result of this condition to ensure they remain in compliance with the conditions imposed under this condition.

Streets

25. The developer shall repair or replace all broken or damaged concrete improvements including curb, gutter, and sidewalk to current City of Madera and ADA standards.

26. The developer shall complete all off-site street improvements including streetlights along the project frontage.

27. The park strip along the Ellis frontage and Merced Street frontage (south boundary to Ellis) shall be landscaped as part of the Landscape Maintenance District and proved with an automatic irrigation system. A minimum of one City-approved street tree every 50 feet shall be provided. Along with root guards. No trees shall be planted within 30 feet of any street light, or 5 feet from any fire hydrant. Detailed fencing, landscaping, and irrigation plans shall be submitted for review and approval by the Parks Department.

28. All existing and proposed public utilities, along all property frontages, shall be undergrounded, except transformers, which may be mounted on pads.

Water

29. Existing or new water service connection(s), including landscape areas, shall be upgraded or constructed to current City standards including Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) water meter installed within City right-of-way and backflow prevention device installed within private property.

30. A separate water meter and backflow prevention device will be required for landscape area.

31. Existing water service connections that will not be used for the project shall be abandoned at the mains, per City of Madera standards.

32. Existing wells, if any, shall be abandoned as directed and permitted by the City of Madera for compliance with State standards.

33. The water system shall meet the required fire flow for this type of development and shall be approved by the Fire Department and shall be operational prior to any framing construction on-site. Fire flows shall be determined by Uniform Fire Code Appendix III-A. Copies of the water system analysis shall be reviewed and approved by the City Engineering Division and Fire Marshall.

Fire Department

34. All fire lanes on the site must be properly posed.
35. 2A10BC-rated fire extinguisher shall be required for each 3,000 square feet of area on each floor level. A maximum travel distance of 75 feet is permitted.

36. Fire sprinklers shall be provided in all structures containing residential uses or as otherwise prescribed by the CBC/CRC/CFC.

37. Addresses shall be posted and plainly visible from the street.

38. Fire sprinkler supervisory alarms shall be provided for all fire sprinkler systems in accordance with the CFC. A closet or similar space shall be provided for the FACU which shall not be exposed to the weather and shall not be placed in an exterior “weather proof” cabinet.

39. Fire rated construction shall be provided between units and between each unit and the adjacent garage space as prescribed by the CBC.

40. Fire hydrants are required. The exact number and placement shall be determined during plan review since insufficient information has been provided at this time.

41. Show that there is sufficient area for the set-up of the ladder truck for the roof-top operations as required.

Planning Department

General

42. Vandalism and graffiti shall be corrected per the Madera Municipal Code.

43. The property owner, operator and/or manager shall keep the property clear of all trash, rubbish and debris at all times, and disposal of refuse shall be restricted to the dumpster owned by the property owner.

44. The property owner, operator and/or manager shall operate in a manner that does not generate noise, odor, blight or vibration that adversely affects any adjacent properties.

45. The applicant shall comply with all federal, state and local laws. Material violations of any of those laws concerning the use may be cause for revocation of these permits.

Building and Site Aesthetics

46. The construction of all buildings approved as part of PPL 2019-06 shall be in close conformance with the approved elevation drawings, as reviewed and approved by the Commission.

47. The construction of buildings approved as part of PPL 2019-06 shall be consistent with an approved color and materials board and representative color section rendering of the proposed buildings to be reviewed and approved by the Planning Manager. Any substantial alteration shall require Commission approval.

48. Prior to the issuance of building permits, applicant to identify on the site plan the following information for Planning Department review and approval:

- The location of all-natural gas and electrical utility meter locations
- The location of all HVAC (heating, ventilation or air conditioning) equipment
- The location of all compressor equipment, and mechanical and electrical equipment
49. Wherever feasible, electrical/mechanical equipment shall be located in the interior of the proposed new structure(s) within electrical/mechanical service rooms. When not feasible, electrical/mechanical equipment located either on the exterior of the building or ground mounted shall be located such that it is not visible from the public right-of-way and screened with landscaping and/or fencing.

50. The specifications and types of exterior lighting fixtures to be installed on the site shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of building permits. All exterior lighting shall be directed away from adjoining properties and not interfere with the driving safety of vehicular traffic. Exposed bulbs will not be permitted.

51. All parking lot lights/lighting shall be incorporated into landscaped areas.

52. The developer shall contact the City Engineer when all site lighting is operational. Additional light screening may be required.

Landscaping
53. A detailed landscaping and irrigation plan shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect and submitted to and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of building permits. The plan shall include:

- Demonstration of compliance with the State of California Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance.
- Areas throughout the project shall be planted so as to provide a minimum of 70% vegetative cover upon maturity.
- Landscaped areas shall be developed along all street frontages and within parking fields.
- Shade trees shall be planted throughout the parking lot, with a minimum of one tree per five parking spaces.
- Landscaped areas are to be provided with permanent automatic irrigation systems.
- A detailed planting list for landscaping, with the number, size, spacing (where applicable) and specie of all plantings shall be included as part of the approved landscaping plan prepared by a licensed landscape architect.

54. On-site and off-site landscaping and irrigation shall not be installed until plans are approved by the Engineering Department and the Parks and Community Services Department. Any deviation shall require prior written request and approval. Removal or modification shall be at developer’s expense.

55. The property owner shall maintain all landscaping in a healthy and well-manicured appearance to achieve and maintain the landscaping design that was approved by the city. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring properly operating irrigation equipment at all times, trimming and pruning of trees and shrubs, mowing lawns consistent with industry standards, and replacing dead or unhealthy vegetation.

Parking
56. Parking stalls shall be developed in close conformance with the approved site plan.

57. All parking and loading areas shall be marked and striped to city standards: Perpendicular (90 degree) parking spaces shall measure a minimum of nine feet wide by nineteen feet deep. No
compact stalls shall be incorporated into the parking field. Minimum drive aisle/backing/maneuvering space is 26 feet.

58. On-site parking shall be provided at all times in conformance with the Municipal Code. Further expansion of the use or additional or accessory uses may require the provision of additional parking spaces in compliance with city standards prior to establishment of the use. All required parking shall be permanently maintained with all parking spaces to be shown on plans submitted for building permits. Any modifications in the approved parking layout shall require approval by the Planning Department.

**Signage**

59. Signage shall be in accordance with city standards, and all signing shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of a separate sign construction permit which may be required by the Building Department.

60. Address sign designs shall be approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of building permits.

61. All proposed construction announcement sign uses shall conform to the Municipal Sign Ordinance.

**Walls and Fences**

62. A trash enclosure shall be constructed of masonry block consistent with city standards with a finish color to match the primary structure. The final location of the trash enclosure shall be determined by the Public Works Director.

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District**

63. The applicant shall comply with the rules and regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

(OR)

Motion 2: Move to continue the public hearing on PPL 2019-06 and VAR 2019-05 to the February 11, 2020 Commission hearing, for the following reasons: (specify)

(OR)

Motion 3: Move to deny the application for PPL 2019-06 and VAR 2019-05, based on the following findings: (specify)

**ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: Aerial Map
Attachment 2: SJVAPCD Letter
Attachment 3: MUSD Letter
Attachment 4: Initial Study & Negative Declaration
Attachment 5: Site Plan, Floor Plan & Elevations
August 28, 2019

Robert Holt
City of Madera
205 W. Fourth Street
Madera, CA 93637

Project: PPL 2019-06

District CEQA Reference No: 193-20190020

Dear Mr. Holt:

The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) has reviewed the project referenced above consisting of apartments, low rise with a total of 106 dwelling units (Project), located at Carmen Ave & Merced St in Madera, CA. The District offers the following comments:

1. **Significance Impact for Annual Criteria Pollutants Emissions** - The Project specific annual emissions of criteria pollutants are not expected to exceed any of the following District significance thresholds: 100 tons per year of carbon monoxide (CO), 10 tons per year of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), 10 tons per year of reactive organic gases (ROG), 27 tons per year of oxides of sulfur (SOx), 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 10 microns or less in size (PM10), or 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less in size (PM2.5). Therefore, the District concludes that the Project would have a less than significant impact on air quality when compared to the above-listed annual criteria pollutant emissions significance thresholds.

2. **District Rule 9510 (Indirect Source Review)** - District Rule 9510 is intended to mitigate a project's impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site fees. The Project is subject to District Rule 9510 if it equals or exceeds 50 residential dwelling units and has or will receive a project-level discretionary approval from a public agency. If subject to the rule, an Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application is required prior to applying for project level approval from a public agency. In this case, if not already done, please immediately submit an AIA application to the District to comply with District Rule 9510.

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 567-6400 FAX: (209) 567-8416

Central Region (Main Office)
1901 E. Gritsiberg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0294
Tel: (559) 230-0000 FAX: (559) 230-0001

Southern Region
34846 Frazier Court
Bakersfield, CA 93309-9715
Tel: (661) 392-5500 FAX: (661) 392-1565

www.valleyair.org www.healthyliving.com
In the case the Project is subject to Rule 9510 an AIA application is required and the District recommends that demonstration of compliance with District Rule 9510, before issuance of the first building permit, be made a condition of Project approval. Information about how to comply with District Rule 9510 can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRLW/home.htm. The AIA application form can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/ISR/ISRFormsAndApplications.htm.

3. **Regulation VIII (Fugitive PM10 Prohibitions)** - The Project will be subject to Regulation VIII. The project proponent is required to submit a Construction Notification Form or submit and receive approval of a Dust Control Plan, if applicable. Prior to commencing any earthmoving activities as described in District Rule 8021 - Construction, Demolition, Excavation, Extraction, and Other Earthmoving Activities. Information on how to comply with Regulation VIII can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/busind/comply/PM10/compliance_PM10.htm

4. **Other District Rules and Regulations** - The above list of rules is neither exhaustive nor exclusive. For example, the Project may be subject to the following District rules, including: Rule 4102 (Nuisance), Rule 4601 (Architectural Coatings), and Rule 4641 (Curbback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations). To identify other District rules or regulations that apply to this Project or to obtain information on the District’s permit requirements, such as an Authority to Construct (ATC), the project proponent is strongly encouraged to contact the District’s Small Business Assistance Office at (559) 230-5888 or e-mail SBA@valleyair.org. Current District rules can be found online at the District’s website at: www.valleyair.org/rules1/ruleslist.htm.

5. **Potential Air Quality Improvement Measures** - The District encourages the following air quality improvement measures to further reduce Project related emissions from construction and operation. A complete list of potential air quality improvement measures can be found online at: http://www.valleyair.org/ceqaconnected/aqimeasures.aspx.

   a. **Cleaner Off-Road Construction Equipment** - This measure is to utilize off-road construction fleets that can achieve fleet average emissions equal to or cleaner than the Tier III emission standards. This can be achieved through any combination of uncontrolled engines and engines complying with Tier III and above engine standards.

   b. **Improve Walkability Design** - This measure is to improved design elements to enhance walkability and connectivity. Improved street network characteristics within a neighborhood include street accessibility, usually measured in terms of average block size, proportion of four-way intersections, or number of intersections per square mile. Design is also measured in terms of sidewalk coverage, building setbacks, street widths, pedestrian crossings, presence of street trees, and a host of other physical variables that differentiate pedestrian-oriented environments from auto-oriented environments.

   c. **Improve Destination Accessibility** - This measure is to locate the project in an area with high accessibility to destinations. Destination accessibility is measured in terms of the number of jobs or other attractions reachable within
d. Increase Transit Accessibility - This measure is to locate the project with high density near transit which will facilitate the use of transit by people traveling to or from the Project site. The use of transit results in a mode shift and therefore reduced VMT. A project with a residential/commercial center designed around a rail or bus station, is called a transit-oriented development (TOD). The project description should include, at a minimum, the following design features:
- A transit station/stop with high-quality, high-frequency bus service located within a 5-10 minute walk (or roughly ¼ mile from stop to edge of development), and/or
- A rail station located within a 20 minute walk (or roughly ½ mile from station to edge of development)
- Fast, frequent, and reliable transit service connecting to a high percentage of regional destinations
- Neighborhood designed for walking and cycling

The District recommends that a copy of the District’s comment letter be provided to the project proponent.

District staff is available to meet with you and/or the applicant to further discuss the regulatory requirements that are associated with this project. If you have any questions or require further information, please call the District's Technical Services staff at (559) 230-6000 or e-mail ceqa@valleymir.org. When calling or emailing the District, please reference District CEQA number 193-20190020.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

[Signature]

Brian Clements
Program Manager
September 6, 2019

Chris Boyle
Planning Manager
City of Madera
205 W 4th St
Madera, CA 93637


Dear Mr. Boyle:

The purpose of this letter is to provide school district information relative to the above-referenced applications and to comply with Business and Professions Code section 11010, subdivision (b)(11)(A) regarding the provision of school-related information to the subdivider/owner and the State Department of Real Estate.

Residential development resulting from the project will affect the District by generating students that will need to be housed in District schools. Using the approximate dwelling units and the District’s student generation rates, we have estimated the number of students potentially generated by the proposed plan. Assuming the project is a 106-unit apartment complex, and the project is located west of the intersection of Carmen Avenue and Merced Street, the following comments can be made at this time:

1. The number of students generated by the project is estimated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Group</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-6</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>37.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Elementary School Information:

   a. The subject land is presently within the attendance area of the elementary school (grades K-6) listed below:

      School Name: James Monroe Elementary School
      Address: 1819 N. Lake Street, Madera CA, 93638
      Telephone: (559) 674-5679
      Capacity: 800
      Enrollment: 657
      School Schedule: Traditional

   b. It is possible that (1) adjustment of school attendance areas could occur in the future such that students residing in the project area may be required to attend an elementary school other than the above school, and (2) students residing in the project area may attend more than one elementary school within the District during their K-6 school years.
3. Intermediate School Information:
   a. The project area is currently served by the following middle school (grades 7-8):
   
   School Name: Jack Desmond Middle School  
   Address: 28490 Martin Street, Madera, CA 93638  
   Telephone: (559) 664-1775  
   Capacity: 1,000  
   Enrollment: 872  
   School Schedule: Traditional

   b. It is possible that (1) adjustment of school attendance areas could occur in the future such that students residing in the project area may be required to attend a middle school other than the above school, and (2) students residing in the project area may attend more than one middle school within the District during their 7-8 school years.

4. High School Information:
   a. The project area is currently served by the following high school (grades 9-12):
   
   School Name: Madera South High School  
   Address: 705 W. Pecan Ave, Madera CA 93637  
   Telephone: (559) 675-4450  
   Capacity: 3,230  
   Enrollment: 3,457  
   School Schedule: Traditional

   b. It is possible that (1) adjustment of school attendance areas could occur in the future such that students residing in the project area may be required to attend a high school other than the above school, and (2) students residing in the project area may attend more than one high school within the District during their 9-12 school years.

5. The Madera Unified School District currently levies a fee of $4.10 per square foot for residential development. Any new development on the subject property will be subject to the development fee in place at the time fee certificates are obtained.

The District hereby requests that the information in this letter be provided by the owner/subdivider to all prospective purchasers of property within the project.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the project. Please contact my office if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Cox  
Director of Facilities Planning and  
Construction Management
Attachment 5: Initial Study & Negative Declaration
Attachment 6: Site Plan, Floor Plans & Elevations
I. BACKGROUND AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. **Application No.:**
   Precise Plan 2019-06 and Variance 2019-05

2. **Project Title:**
   Eagle Meadows Apartments

3. **Lead Agency Name and Address:**
   City of Madera, 205 W. 4th St., Madera, CA 93637

4. **Contact Person and Phone Number:**
   Jesus Orozco – (559) 661-5436

5. **Project Location:**
   Directly southwest of the intersection of Merced Avenue and Ellis Street.

6. **Project Applicant’s/Sponsor’s Name and Address:**
   ANavinder Bhandal – 3209 Mike Waldron Drive, Sacramento, CA 95835

7. **General Plan Designation:**
   HD (High Density)

8. **Zoning:**
   PD-1500 (Planned Development)

9. **Project Background:**
   The proposal is an application for a precise plan to allow for the development of a 102-unit multifamily complex including 30 one-bedroom dwelling units and 72 two-bedroom dwelling units, 48 garage spaces, 52 carport spaces, 23 uncovered spaces, two large open space areas within the central portion of the complex, a water recreational feature, eleven free standing cabana areas and a multipurpose athletic field with artificial turf. The variance would allow for less than the required minimum number of parking stalls and less than the required minimum amount of open space. Four existing dwelling units will be incorporated into the development.

10. **Public Agencies Whose Approval or Review Is Required:**
    Madera Irrigation District, Madera Unified School District and San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

11. **Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1?** If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?
California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area did not request consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1.

II. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The project site is vacant Residentially-zoned land located directly west of the intersection of Carmen Avenue and Merced Street. The project site encompasses approximately 2.6 acres. Access to the property will only occur from Merced Street. The project site is generally surrounded by single-family residential dwellings in all directions. There is a multifamily complex to the south and James Monroe Elementary School to the southwest. The City limits abut Ellis Street, adjacent north of the project site.
III. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected by the Project: Some of the environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving no impacts that are a “Potentially Significant Impact” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aesthetics</th>
<th>Agricultural and Forest Resources</th>
<th>Air Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological Resources</td>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology / Soils</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</td>
<td>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology / Water Quality</td>
<td>Land Use / Planning</td>
<td>Mineral Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Population / Housing</td>
<td>Public Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Tribal Cultural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities/Service Systems</td>
<td>Wildfire</td>
<td>Mandatory Findings of Significance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ✓
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a “potentially significant impact” or “potentially significant unless mitigated” impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature: ________________________________ Date: ________________
1. AESTHETICS. Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

While the proposed buildings are relatively tall (three stories), the project would not affect a scenic vista or have an overall adverse visual impact on the immediate area. The project would not affect a scenic highway and would not have an overall adverse visual impact on any scenic resources. The project will add some additional sources of light within the urban environment. The site is not proximate to locally prominent scenic or visually significant resources. The project would conform with and incorporate General Plan policies and requirements. No additional analysis is required.

**Less than Significant Impacts**

| d) | There will be an increase in light and glare and other aesthetic impacts associated with the development as a result of the project, although it will be a less than significant impact upon implementation of City standards. Exterior lighting on building and in open areas will be shielded or muted by design of fixtures, surrounding buildings and substantial landscaping. The overall impact of additional light and glare will be minimal. |

**No Impacts**

| a. | The project will not result in the obstruction of federal, state or locally classified scenic areas, historic properties, community landmarks or formally classified scenic resources, such as a scenic highway, national or state scenic area, or scenic vista. |
| b) | The project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. |
| c) | The project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings. The project does not also conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality. |
ENIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

| Potentially Significant Issues | Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |

2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement Methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

   ![Checkmark]

b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?

   ![Checkmark]

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?

   ![Checkmark]

d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

   ![Checkmark]

e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

   ![Checkmark]

Discussion
The project site is located on land identified as “Vacant or Disturbed Land” on the 2016 California Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program map.

No Impacts
a) The project would not convert prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide importance (as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency) to non-agricultural use. The project site is identified as “Urban and Built-Up Land” on the 2016 California Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program map, which includes open field areas that do not qualify for an agricultural
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>category. The project site has been identified for residential uses within the City of Madera General Plan, and the land is not currently being utilized for agricultural purposes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The project would not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use and there are no Williamson Act contracts affecting the subject property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) The project would not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned Timberland Production because the project property is not defined as forest land (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g)).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) The project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to a non-forest use because the parcel is not defined as forest land (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) The project, which will develop a 102-unit apartment complex, will not involve other changes in the existing environment, due to the project property’s location or nature, that would result in the conversion of Farmland to a non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | | | ✓ |
| b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard? | | ✓ |
| c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? | | ✓ |
| d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people)? | | ✓ |

Discussion

The project site is located within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (SJVAB). Air quality conditions in the SJVAB are regulated by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). The region is classified as a State and Federal non-attainment area for PM10 (airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 microns), and ozone (O3).

Air quality is determined by the type and amount of contaminants emitted into the atmosphere, the size and topography of the SJVAB, and its meteorological conditions. National and state air quality
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

standards specify the upper limits of concentrations and duration in the ambient air for O₃, CO, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), PM₁₀, sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and lead (Pb). These are “criteria pollutants.” The SJVAPCD also conducts monitoring for two other state standards: sulfate and visibility.

The State of California has designated the project site as being a severe non-attainment area for 1-hour O₃, a non-attainment area for PM₁₀, and an attainment area for CO. The EPA has designated the project area as being an extreme non-attainment area for 1-hour O₃, a serious non-attainment area for 8-hour O₃, a serious non-attainment area for PM₁₀, and a moderate maintenance for CO.

The project would not conflict with or obstruct the implementation of applicable Regional Air Quality Control Plans.

Similarly, the project will be evaluated to determine required compliance with District Rule 9510, which is intended to mitigate a project’s impact on air quality through project design elements or by payment of applicable off-site mitigation fees. Any applicant subject to District Rule 9510 is required to submit and Air Impact Assessment (AIA) application to the District no later than applying for final discretionary approval, and to pay any applicable off-site mitigation fees before issuance of the first building permit. Demonstration of compliance with District Rule 9510, including payment of all applicable fees before issuance of the first building permit would be made a condition of project approval.

Short-term construction impacts on air quality, principally from dust generation, will be mitigated through watering. The project would not create substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality, and the development will be subject to SJVAPCD review. Construction equipment will produce a small amount of air emissions from internal combustion engines and dust. The project will not violate any air quality standard or substantially contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation. The project will not result in a considerable net increase in non-attainment pollutants in this area. The project will not expose sensitive receptors to any significant amount of pollutants. The project will not create any objectionable odors.

The proposed General Plan amendment and rezoning for the project site, and the development of the project site will not create impacts beyond those analyzed and addressed through the General Plan Update and the accompanying environmental impact report. All phases of site development will conform with and incorporate General Plan policies and requirements. All phases of development will similarly conform with and implement regional air quality requirements. No additional analysis is required. Any unique features or project impacts which are identified as specific projects are proposed within the project site will be evaluated and addressed on a project-by-project basis.

**Less than Significant Impacts**

a) According to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD), the project is subject to some District Rules. The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.

b) According to the SJVAPCD, the project would have a less than significant impact on air quality when compared to the significance thresholds of the following annual criteria pollutant
emissions: 100 tons per year of carbon monoxide (CO), 10 tons per year of oxides in nitrogen (NOx), 10 tons per year of reactive organic gases (ROG), 27 tons per year of oxides of sulfur (SOx), 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 10 microns or less in size (PM10), or 15 tons per year of particulate matter of 2.5 microns or less in size (PM2.5).

c) The project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

d) The development of the project would not result in other emissions, such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
With the preparation of the City of Madera General Plan, no threatened or endangered species were identified in the project area. There is no record of special-status species in the project area. Development of the project area is consistent with the urbanization of the Madera area, as evaluated in the General Plan and its EIR; therefore, impacts in this category are not anticipated to exceed the impacts addressed in those documents.

The project site is void of any natural features, such as seasonal drainages, riparian or wetland habitat, rock outcroppings, or other native habitat or associated species. Development of the site would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, or conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

No Impacts
a) The project would not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

b) The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

c) The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.

d) The project would not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.

e) The project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

f) The project does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to in Section 15064.5? | | | ✓
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
The project does not have the potential to cause a physical change that would affect unique historic, ethnic, or cultural values. The project would not disturb any archaeological resources. The project would not disturb any unique paleontological or geologic resources. The project would not disturb any human remains. In the event any archaeological resources are discovered during project construction, all activities shall cease and the Community Development Department shall be notified so that the procedures required by State law may be applied.

No Impacts
a) The project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5 because there are no known historical resources located in the affected territory.

b) The project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5 because there are no known archaeological resources located in the affected territory.

c) The project would not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, because there are no known human remains located in the affected territory. When development occurs in the future and if any remains are discovered, the requirements of CEQA that regulate archaeological and historical resources (Public Resources Code Section 21083.2 and 21084.1), and all local, state, and federal regulations affecting archaeological and historical resources would be complied with.

6. ENERGY. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less than Significant Impacts
a) The project could utilize inefficient or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation, but because the project will be built to comply with Building Energy Efficiency of the California Building Code (Title 24), the project will not result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) State and local authorities regulate energy use and consumption. These regulations at the state level intended to reduce energy use and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. These include, among others, Assembly Bill (AB) 1493 – Light-Duty Vehicle Standards, California Code of Regulations Title 24, Part 6 – Energy Efficiency Standards, California Code of Regulations Title 24, Part 11 – California Green Building Standards. The project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Landslides?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

There are no known faults on the project site or in the immediate area. The project site is subject to relatively low seismic hazards compared to many other parts of California. Potential ground shaking produced by earthquakes generated on regional faults lying outside the immediate vicinity in the project area may occur. Due to the distance of the known faults in the region, no significant ground shaking is anticipated on this site. Seismic hazards on the built environment are addressed in The Uniform Building Code that is utilized by the City of Madera Building Division to monitor safe construction within the City limits.

**No Impacts**

a) The project would not directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving the rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault. No known faults with evidence of historic activity cut through the valley soils in the project vicinity. The major active faults and fault zones occur at some distance to the east, west and south of the project site. Due to the geology of the project area and its distance from active faults, the potential for loss of life, property damage, ground settlement, or liquefaction to occur in the project vicinity is considered minimal.

ii. The project would not directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving strong seismic ground shaking. Ground shaking generally decreases with distance and increases with the depth of unconsolidated alluvial deposits. The most likely source of potential ground shaking is attributed to the San Andreas, Owens Valley, and the White Wolf faults. Based on this premise and taking into account the distance to the causative faults, the potential for ground motion in the vicinity of the project site is such that a minimal risk can be assigned.

iii. The project would not directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including liquefaction. Liquefaction describes a phenomenon in which a saturated soil loses strength during an earthquake as a result of induced shearing strains. Lateral and vertical movement of the soil mass combined with loss of bearing usually results. Loose sand, high groundwater conditions (where the water table is less than 30 feet below the surface), higher intensity earthquakes, and particularly long duration of ground shaking are the requisite conditions for liquefaction.

iv. The project would not directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving landslides.
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

b) The project would not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil. Construction of urban uses would create changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, and the rate and amount of surface runoff on the selected project site. Standard construction practices that comply with the City of Madera ordinances and regulations, the California Building Code, and professional engineering designs approved by the Madera Engineering Department will mitigate any potential impacts from future urban development, if any.

c) The project would not be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable as a result of the project, and not potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse.

d) The project would not be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), not creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property.

e) The project would not have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water. The City of Madera would provide necessary sewer and water systems upon project approval.

f) The project would not directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.

8. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? ✓

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? ✓

Discussion
Climate change is a public health and environmental concern around the world. Globally, temperature, precipitation, sea level, ocean currents, wind patterns, and storm activity are all affected by the presence of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the atmosphere. Human activity contributes to emissions of six primary GHG gases: carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons and sulfur hexafluoride. Human-caused emissions of GHGs are linked to climate change.

In 2006, the California State Legislature adopted AB32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, which aims to reduce GHG emissions in California. GHGs, as defined by AB 32, includes carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride. AB 32 requires the California Air Resources Board (ARB), the State agency which regulates statewide air quality, to adopt rules and regulations that would achieve GHG emissions equivalent to 1990 statewide levels by 2020.
As part of the 2011 City of Madera General Plan update, the Conservation Element includes several goals, policies and programs in the Air Quality, GHG Emissions and Climate Change sections which address and promote practices that meet or exceed all state and federal standards and meet or exceed all current and future state-mandated targets for reducing GHG emissions. The City also requires applicants for all public and private development integrate appropriate methods that reduce GHG emissions consistent with the Energy and Green Building sections of the Conservation Element, General Plan Policy CON-40 through 46.

**Less than Significant Impacts**

a) The project would not, by itself, generate significant GHG emissions or contribute to global warming because the new development that is proposed will be required to adhere to local, regional and state regulations.

b) The project would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs.

**9. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
<th>✓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within ¼ miles of an existing or proposed school?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for people residing or working in the project area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
The project will not create hazards or expose people or property to hazardous conditions. The anticipated development will be consistent with the General Plan and will be delineated with the accompanying precise plan.

No impacts
a) The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.

b) The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

c) The project would not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within ¼ miles of an existing or proposed school.

d) The project would not be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, it would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.

e) The project site is not located within an airport land use plan and would result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area.

f) The project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.

g) The project would not expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildfires.

10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise ✓
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would: i. Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site; ii. Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site; iii. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or d) In flood hazard, tsunami or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation? e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
The project would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. There will not be a significant reduction in the amount of groundwater otherwise available for public water supplies as a result of this project. Services will be provided in accordance with the City's Master Plans. The project would not change any drainage patterns or stream courses, or the source of direction of any water movement. During construction, the project site may be exposed to increased soil erosion from wind and water. Dust control would be used during construction. With completion of the project, the project would not bring about erosion, significant changes in topography or unstable soil conditions.

The project would not expose people or property to water-related hazards. Standard construction practices and compliance with City ordinances and regulations, The Uniform Building Code, and adherence to professional engineering design approved by the Madera Engineering Department would mitigate any potential impacts from this project. This development would be required to comply with all City ordinances and standard practices which will assure that storm water would be adequately
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drained into the approved storm water system. The project would not create any impacts on water quality.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on a review of the City’s FEMA maps, the site is located in Zone X and the project would not place housing or other land uses in a 100-year flood hazard area. These areas outside of the 500-year flood area. The project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk because of dam or levee failure. The project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk because of a seiche, mudflow, or tsunami.

**No Impacts**

a) The project would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality. The development of the project site will be required to comply with all City of Madera ordinances and standard practices which assure proper grading and storm water drainage into the approved storm water systems. Any development will also be required to comply with all local, state, and federal regulations to prevent any violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements.

b) The project would not substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin.

c)  
   i. The project would not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would not result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site.

   ii. The project would not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would not substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.

   iii. The project would not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff.

d) The project is not located in flood hazard, tsunami or seiche zones and it will not risk release of pollutants due to project inundation.
e) The project does not conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan.

11. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?  

b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Development of the project site is consistent with the urbanization of the project site and surrounding area, as evaluated in the General Plan and its EIR; therefore, impacts in this category are avoided. The site was previously approved for intensive urban residential development. The proposed redesign will maximize efficient use of land committed to urban development thereby contributed to reduced demand for urbanization of productive agricultural lands and other open space resources.

No Impacts

a) The project would not physically divide an established neighborhood. The project logically allows development to occur in an orderly manner, adjacent to future urban development.

b) The project would not cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.

12. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Impacts

a) The project would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state.

b) The project would not result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan.

13. NOISE: Would the project result in:

a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</td>
<td>plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**
These potential impacts were addressed in the General Plan EIR, and goals and mitigation measures were adopted to reduce potential impacts to a less than significant level. Development of the project area is consistent with the urbanization of the Madera area, as evaluated in the General Plan, and its EIR. Use of outdoor leisure areas, particularly those designed for children, will result in the generation of associated noise. The development’s design shelters and buffers these areas from adjacent residential properties. Therefore, impacts in this category are not anticipated to exceed the impacts addressed in these documents. Construction activities must comply with applicable noise policies and standards established by the City.

**No Impacts**

a) The project would not generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies.

b) The project would not generate excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels.

c) The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan and would not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.

**14. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:**

a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | | ✓ | |

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | | ✓ | |

**Discussion**
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The proposed project would not induce additional substantial growth in this area. The project site would not displace any housing. Likewise, the project would not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The 102-unit maximizes efficient use of available vacant land within an urbanized area. This proposal maximizes use of a vacant by-passed parcels within an area served by existing public facilities.

**Less than Significant Impacts**

a) The project does not induce unplanned population growth in the area with the construction of 102 new dwelling units, but the growth will not be substantial.

**No Impacts**

b) The project will not displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing which will not necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

15. **PUBLIC SERVICES.** Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or need for new or physical altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Fire protection?</th>
<th>✓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Police protection?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Schools?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Parks?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Other public facilities?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The development of the project site would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts from new or altered public facilities. The proposed development will generate additional demand upon services, such as fire and police protection, and additional park and school facilities. This additional demand is consistent with the demand anticipated in the General Plan and evaluated in the General Plan EIR. Madera Unified School District has noted that attendance boundaries maybe adjusted in the future to accommodate enrollment growth generate by new residential development. Other public safety services such as fire and police protection are affected by increasing demands of population and employment growth. The City has established a sales tax measure that provides additional revenues for police services.

The project would not bring about the need for new wastewater treatment facilities. The project would not significantly increase the demand on water supplies beyond the levels anticipated in the General Plan and the Water Master Plan. There will not be a significant reduction in the amount of groundwater otherwise available for public water supplies as a result of this project. The project would not increase the need for additional storm water drainage facilities beyond the existing and master planned drainage basin facilities that are planned to serve the project area. The project area would be required to provide additional facilities within the development, and comply with the City’s Master Plan, ordinances and standard practices. The project would not bring about a significant increase in the demand for solid waste disposal services and facilities.
### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

**Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) The project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts to fire protection services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts to fire protection services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) The project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts to school services. The Madera Unified School District levies a school facilities fee to assist defraying the impact of residential development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) The project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts to park facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) The project would not result in substantial adverse physical impacts on other public facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 16. RECREATION. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Discussion

Residential development is consistent with the City of Madera General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. Impacts in this category are not anticipated to exceed the impacts addressed in those documents. The proposed increase in number residents will increase demand upon park and recreation resources. The project provides substantial leisure and recreational open space amenities to serve residents.

**No Impacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) The project would not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The project will include the construction of large open space areas with a central area for the complex, a water recreational feature, eleven cabana lounge areas and a multipurpose sport field that would provide for recreational activities, but they will not have an adverse physical effect on the environment.</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 17. TRANSPORTATION. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Conflict with a program plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (for example, sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (for example, farm equipment)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Result in inadequate emergency access?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The project site was included in the General Plan and its accompanying EIR and the potential traffic generated from the eventual development of this land is considered. The goals and policies of the General Plan serve to mitigate traffic impacts that occur as a result of new development.

**No Impacts**

a) The project would not conflict with any program plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities. All pedestrian walkways will be constructed consistent with the City of Madera Engineering Department standards and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards.

b) The project would not conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, subdivision (b). The project is not located within one-half mile of an existing major transit stop or along an existing high-quality transit corridor.

c) The project would not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (for example, sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (for example, farm equipment).

d) The project would not result in inadequate emergency access.

**18. Tribal Cultural Resources. Would the project:**

Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:

a) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or

| | | | | ✓ |
### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

**Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**No Impacts**

a) The project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and the project is not listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k).

b) The project is not a resource determined by the lead agency (City of Madera), in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. The project site is not listed as a historical resource in the California Register of Historical Sources.

### 19. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Issues</td>
<td>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</td>
<td>Less Than Significant Impact</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The City’s community sewage disposal system would continue to comply with Discharge Permit requirements. The project would not bring about the need for new wastewater treatment facilities. The project would not significantly increase the demand on water supplies, adequate domestic water and fire flows should be available to the property. There would not be a significant reduction in the amount of groundwater otherwise available for public water supplies as a result of this project. The project would not increase the need for additional storm water drainage facilities beyond the existing and master planned drainage basin facilities that are planned to serve the project. The project site would be required to comply with the City’s Master Plan, ordinances and standard practices. The project would not bring about a significant increase in the demand for solid waste disposal services and facilities.

**Less than Significant Impacts**

a) The project would require the construction of new water and storm water drainage facilities, but the construction would not cause significant environmental effects.

**No Impacts**

b) The project would have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years.

c) The project would result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments.

d) The project would not generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals.

e) The project would comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

**20. WILDFIRE.** If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project:

| a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response and/or emergency evacuation? | | | ✓ |
| b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, | | | ✓ |
**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The project site is not located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones. The project will be developed consistent with all regulations of the California Fire Code and would provide no impact to wildfire hazards.

**No Impacts**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>The project would not substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>The project would not, due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>The project would not require the installation or maintenance of roads and will not exacerbate fire risk or result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment as the project is also not located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>The project would not expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**21. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE. Would the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e) Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues (and Supporting Information Sources):</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (&quot;Cumulatively considerable&quot; means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Based upon staff analysis and comments from experts, it has been determined that the proposed project could generate some limited adverse impacts in the areas of Aesthetics, Air Quality, Energy, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Population and Housing, and Utilities and Service Systems.

The potential impacts identified in this Initial Study are considered to be less than significant since they will cease upon completion of construction or do not exceed a threshold of significance. Therefore, a Negative Declaration is the appropriate level of documentation for this project.

### No Impacts

a) The project would not have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

b) The project would not have cumulatively considerable impacts that are beyond less than significant.

c) The project would not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.
PROPOSAL: Consideration of a request for a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow for an approximately 30,000 square foot outdoor storage area for water-based fertilizer containers.

APPLICANT: John C. Miller
OWNER: DPG Holdings LLC
ADDRESS: 1963 Independence Drive
APN: 009-331-023
APPLICATION: CUP 2019-30
CEQA: Categorical Exemption

LOCATION: The project site is located within the Freedom Industrial Park, approximately 1,750 feet west of the northwest corner of South Pine Street and Independence Drive.

STREET ACCESS: The project is accessed from Independence Drive.

PARCEL SIZE: One parcel encompassing approximately 11.18 acres.

GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: I (Industrial)

ZONING DISTRICT: I (Industrial)

SITE CHARACTERISTICS: The project site is located within the Freedom Industrial Park. Freedom Industrial Park is beginning to see more development with the recent inclusion of multiple industrial shell buildings, Tran Pak, U.S. Water and the future intermodal station. The project site is currently surrounding by vacant land and ongoing construction of industrial uses.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: The project has been determined to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, Section 15301 (Existing Facilities).

SUMMARY: The applicant is proposing outdoor storage of approximately 30,000 square feet of water-based fertilizer containers as a component of the existing Deerpoint Group business. The outdoor storage will be an ancillary expansion of the business model. The outdoor storage will be screened to prevent visual blight to surrounding uses as well as adhere to the requirements of the California Fire Code and other applicable State and Federal regulations. The outdoor storage activity is consistent with zoning and the General Plan.
APPLICABLE CODES AND PROCEDURES

MMC §10-3.1002 Industrial Zones – Uses Permitted
MMC §10-3.1301 Use Permits

The City’s Zoning Ordinance allows for the granting of a use permit by the Planning Commission (Commission) subject to the Commission being able to make findings that the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use or building will not, under the circumstances of the particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the use, or be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the City.

If the Commission cannot make the appropriate findings, the use should be denied. Conditions may be attached to the approval of the use permit to ensure compatibility. Project design may be altered and on or off-site improvements required in order to make the project compatible with nearby uses. In addition, the application may be subject to further review, modification or revocation by the Commission as necessary.

PRIOR ACTION

Tentative Subdivision Map (TSM) 2014-01 was approved by the Commission on March 11, 2014, that allowed for the creation of all parcels within the Freedom Industrial Park. The project site which is located within Freedom Industrial Park was originally entitled through CUP 2014-16 and SPR 2014-22 on September 9, 2014, which allowed for the on-site storage of hazardous and non-hazardous chemicals. Subsequent site improvements have occurred through various site plan reviews, including the expansion of office space, carports and the like.

ANALYSIS

Business Model
The applicant, Deerpoint Group Inc., is an agricultural water treatment company, where agricultural water is treated to improve the performance of irrigation systems and improve food safety. Deerpoint Group provides water treatment services for all types of crops and all methods of irrigation. Deerpoint Group originally began operations with 30 employees. Since then, the business has expanded to over 100 employees and counting.

Project Proposal
The business model has grown significantly since its establishment in 2014. As a result, Deerpoint Group has seen a demand in products and services, hence the need for additional storage area. The applicant proposes a maximum of approximately 108, 6,500-gallon containers on a 30,000 square foot designated storage area, as identified in Attachment 2. Chemicals within the outdoor storage containers will be finished products composed of water-based fertilizer. The containers will be placed on a concrete slab with a raised curb perimeter. Though the chemicals are non-flammable, odorless, and non-hazardous, the raised curb will prevent seepage into the ground and/or run-off into adjoining properties should a spill ever occur. At all times, the outdoor storage of chemicals will be required to comply with industry guidelines and standards set forth by the California Fire Code and other applicable State and Federal regulations.

Use Permit Requirements & Compatibility
A conditional use permit for outdoor activities in the I (Industrial) Zone District is required as a component of expanding the use to include the allowance for outdoor storage of liquid-based fertilizer containers. According to the applicant, the containers at one point could store a number of chemicals other than water-based fertilizers. It recommended that chemical types stored outside be consistent with the approved chemical list approved as a component of CUP 2014-16 (Attachment 3). Noting the project site is within an industrial park surrounded by other existing and future industrial uses, the outdoor storage of materials is seamless compatible. Other businesses, such as Tran Pak, has also secured a use permit for the outdoor storage of products on their respective property. It is recommended that fencing with screening be placed along the east perimeter of the outdoor storage area as a means of preventing visual blight to any existing or future adjoining uses.

Other Department and Agency Comments
The proposed CUP was reviewed by various City Departments and outside agencies. The responses and recommendations have been incorporated into the recommended conditions of approval included in this report.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Though approval of outdoor storage activities is not specifically addressed in the vision or action plans, the overall project does directly support the Vision Statement, “Good Jobs and Economic Opportunities” and the creation of “a strong and diverse economy, supporting the local tax base and essential community services that provides living wage opportunities for all its community members.”

RECOMMENDATION

The information presented in this report provides support for the conditional approval of the CUP request. It is recommended that the Commission consider the information in this report, as well as testimony in the public hearing, and make a decision on the CUP request.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION

The Commission will be acting on CUP 2019-30 and determine to either:

- approve the applications with or without conditions
- continue the hearing, or
- deny the applications

Any action by the Commission approving or denying the application is subject to appeal to the City Council within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Planning Commission’s action.

Motion 1: Move to approve CUP 2019-30, subject to the findings and conditions of approval as listed:

Findings

- This project has been determined to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, Section 15301 (Existing Facilities).
- The outdoor storage of chemicals and non-hazardous materials is consistent with the purposes of the I (Industrial) General Plan land use designation and the I (Industrial) Zone District, subject to the issuance of a conditional use permit
As conditioned, the project will be consistent with established codes, standards and policies relating to traffic safety, street improvements and environmental quality.

As conditioned, the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use will not, under the circumstances of this particular case, be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such proposed use or be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or general welfare of the City.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

General Conditions

1. Project approval is conditioned upon acceptance of the conditions of approval contained herein, as evidenced by receipt, in the Planning Department, of the applicant’s signature upon an Acknowledgement and Acceptance of Conditions within thirty days of the date of approval for this use permit.

2. The applicant’s failure to utilize CUP 2019-30 within one year following the date of this approval shall render the conditional use permit null and void unless a written request for an extension has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission (Commission).

3. CUP 2019-30 may be made null and void without any additional public notice or hearing at any time upon both the benefactors of the use permit and owners of the property voluntarily submitting to the City a written request to permanently extinguish the conditional use permit.

4. CUP 2019-30 shall be subject to periodic reviews and inspection by the City to determine compliance with the conditions of approval and applicable codes. If at any time, the use is determined by Staff to be in violation of the conditions of approval, Staff may schedule a public hearing before the Commission within 45 days of the violation to consider revocation of the permit.

5. It shall be the responsibility of the property owner and management to ensure that any required permits, inspections and approvals from any regulatory agency shall be obtained from the concerned agency prior to the establishment of the use.

Building Department

6. A building permit is required for all improvements. Current State of California and federal handicap requirements shall apply to the entire site and all structures and parking thereon. Compliance shall be checked at the permit stage and shall be confirmed at final inspection.

Fire Department

7. Outdoor storage areas shall comply with the California Fire Code. Compliance shall be confirmed prior to final occupancy or the commencement of any outdoor activity on the site.

Planning Department
General
8. On-site vandalism and graffiti shall be corrected per the MMC.

9. The property owner, operator and/or manager shall keep the property clear of all trash, rubbish and debris at all times, and disposal of refuse shall be restricted to the dumpster owned by the property owner.

10. The property owner, operator and/or manager shall operate in a manner that does not generate noise, odor, blight or vibration that adversely affects any adjacent properties.

11. The applicant shall comply with all federal, state and local laws. Material violations of any of those laws concerning the use may be cause for revocation of this use permit.

Outdoor Storage
12. CUP 2019-30 allows for the outdoor storage of containers on an approximately 30,000 square foot area. The storage of containers shall be consistent with the site plan as identified on Attachment 2.

13. The property owner and/or operator shall install a 6-foot tall chain-link fence with durable colored vinyl slats on the eastern section of the outdoor storage area to the specifications of the Planning Department prior to the commencement of any outdoor storage on the site, as identified on Attachment 2.

Landscaping
14. The property owner shall maintain all landscaping in a healthy and well-manicured appearance to achieve and maintain the landscaping design that was approved by the city. This includes, but is not limited to, ensuring properly operating irrigation equipment at all times, trimming and pruning of trees and shrubs, mowing lawns consistent with industry standards, and replacing dead or unhealthy vegetation.

Parking
15. Onsite parking shall be provided at all times in conformance with the MMC. Further expansion of the use or additional or accessory uses may require the provision of additional parking spaces in compliance with City standards prior to the establishment of the use. All required parking shall be permanently maintained with all parking spaces to be shown on plans submitted for building permits. Any modifications in the approved parking layout shall require approval by the Planning Department.

Signage
16. Signage shall be in accordance with City standards, and all signing shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance of a separate sign construction permit which may be required by the Building Department.

(OR)

Motion 2: Move to continue the public hearing on CUP 2019-30 to the February 11, 2020 Commission hearing, for the following reasons: (specify)

(OR)
Motion 3: Move to deny the application for CUP 2019-30, based on the following findings: (specify)

ATTACHMENTS
Attachment 1: Aerial Map
Attachment 2: Site Plan
Attachment 3: Chemicals List
Attachment 1: Aerial Map
Attachment 2: Site Plan

Fence

Outdoor Storage Area

Concrete Tank Storage and Asphalt

Legend
4’ Valley Gutter
Rail Line
Lot Boundary
110k 6500 gallon tank Total Capacity 700,000 gallons

01/14/2020 (CUP 2019-30 Deerpoint Group Outdoor Storage)
List of chemicals to be stored onsite:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Volume (max)</th>
<th>Storage Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 75% Phosphoric Acid Solution</td>
<td>100,000gal</td>
<td>6500gal tanks and rail cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 50% Potassium Hydroxide</td>
<td>100,000gal</td>
<td>6500gal tanks and rail cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ferric Sodium EDTA Solid</td>
<td>50,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Zinc Disodium EDTA Solid</td>
<td>50,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Manganese Disodium EDTA Solid</td>
<td>50,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Magnesium Disodium EDTA Solid</td>
<td>20,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Copper Disodium EDTA Solid</td>
<td>5,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sodium EDTA (39% Solution)</td>
<td>1,000gal (totes)</td>
<td>Storage Building #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Magnesium Nitrate (66.7% Solution)</td>
<td>2,500gal (totes)</td>
<td>Storage Building #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Zinc Nitrate (50% Solution)</td>
<td>2,000gal (totes)</td>
<td>Storage Building #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Ferric Nitrate (9.5% Solution)</td>
<td>2,000gal (totes)</td>
<td>Storage Building #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Manganese Nitrate (50% Solution)</td>
<td>2,000gal (totes)</td>
<td>Storage Building #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Copper Nitrate Solid</td>
<td>200lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Sodium Molybdate Solid</td>
<td>100lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Cobalt Nitrate Solid</td>
<td>200lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Copper Sulfate Pentahydrate Solid</td>
<td>5,000lbs</td>
<td>Warehouse-Shop Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Aqueous Formic Acid (75% Solution)</td>
<td>2,500gal (totes)</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Clearwater (Proprietary Polymer in Water)</td>
<td>15,000gal (drums)</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Calcium Formate Solid</td>
<td>30,000lbs</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Calcium Hypochlorite (67%) Solid</td>
<td>60,000lbs</td>
<td>Storage Building #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Calcium Hydroxide Solid</td>
<td>30,000lbs</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Urea Solid</td>
<td>10,000lbs</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Ammonia</td>
<td>“Future Use”</td>
<td>Rail Car</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ANNUAL REVIEW

- MADERA TRAVEL CENTER

STAFF IS REQUESTING THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO THE MARCH 10, 2020 PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING